

## (19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date  
6 May 2005 (06.05.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 2005/039491 A2(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>:

A61K

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2004/034624

(22) International Filing Date: 21 October 2004 (21.10.2004)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

60/512,922 22 October 2003 (22.10.2003) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE JOHN HOPKINS UNIVERSITY [US/US]; 3400 N. Charles Street, 5th Floor, Baltimore, MD 21218 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DANG, Long [US/US]; 2225 Rogene Drive, #101, Baltimore, MD 21209 (US). BETTEGOWDA, Chetan [US/US]; 2201 Rogene Dr. Apt.T2, Baltimore, MD 21209 (US). KINZLER, Kenneth, W. [US/US]; 1403 Halkirk Way, Bel Air, MD 21015 (US). VOGELSTEIN, Bert [US/US]; 3700 Breton Way, Baltimore, MD 21208 (US).

(74) Agent: KAGAN, Sarah, A.; Banner &amp; Witcoff, LTD., 11th Floor, 1001 G Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20001-4597 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

## Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: CERTAIN IMPROVED COMBINATION BACTERIOLYTIC THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT OF TUMORS



(57) Abstract: Current approaches for treating cancer are limited, in part, by the inability of drugs to affect the poorly vascularized regions of tumors. We have found that spores of anaerobic bacteria in combination with agents which interact with microtubules can cause the destruction of both the vascular and avascular compartments of tumors. Two classes of microtubule inhibitors were found to exert markedly different effects. Some agents that inhibited microtubule synthesis such as HTI-286 and vinorelbine, caused rapid, massive hemorrhagic necrosis when used in combination with spores. In contrast, agents that stabilized microtubules, such as the taxanes docetaxel and MAC-321, resulted in slow tumor regressions that killed most neoplastic cells. Remaining cells in the poorly perfused regions of tumors could be eradicated by sporulated bacteria. Mechanistic studies showed that the microtubule destabilizers, but not the microtubule stabilizers, radically reduced blood flow to tumors, thereby enlarging the hypoxic niche in which spores could germinate. A single intravenous injection of spores plus selected microtubule-interacting agents was able to cause regressions of several tumors in the absence of excessive toxicity.

WO 2005/039491 A2

**CERTAIN IMPROVED COMBINATION  
BACTERIOLYTIC THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT  
OF TUMORS**

This invention was made using U.S. government support from NIH grant CA 62924. The U.S. government therefore retains certain rights in the invention.

**TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

This invention is related to the field of oncology. In particular it relates to combined biological and chemical treatments of tumors.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The prognosis for patients who present with advanced cancers of the pancreas, colon, lung, breast, ovary, brain or prostate is dismal. This tragic situation has stimulated an avalanche of research, resulting in a revolution in understanding cancer pathogenesis, significant gains in the applications of conventional chemotherapeutic agents, and some promising new agents. Unfortunately, this revolution has not yet had a major impact on the treatment of common solid tumors. Many believe that the best hope for future therapeutic gains lies in combining novel approaches with more conventional agents. Our laboratory has been attempting to determine whether spores of *C. novyi-NT*, a strain of anaerobic bacteria, can be used in such a fashion.

The rationale for using anaerobic bacteria lies in the unique angiogenic state that exists within tumors. It is widely recognized that solid tumors require angiogenesis to grow to a clinically important size (Folkman, 2002; Kerbel, 2000). It is less often appreciated that solid tumors almost always outpace angiogenesis, often resulting in large regions that are poorly vascularized (Brown, 2002). These poorly perfused regions pose major problems for the oncologist. Drug delivery is compromised and drug

efficacy suffers not only from the lower drug concentrations reached in avascular areas but also from the fact that many chemotherapeutic agents rely both on oxygen and on actively replicating tumor cells for full potency (Brown and Giaccia, 1998).

The potential of anaerobic bacteria for treating cancer was recognized a half century ago (Jain and Forbes, 2001). Though initial clinical trials of such organisms were discouraging, this approach has more recently been revisited using genetic engineering techniques not available in the past (Heppner and Mose, 1978). For example, a strain of the facultative anaerobe *S. typhi* was created in which the major endotoxin component was mutated, resulting in a substantial diminution of toxicity (Low et al., 1999). Similarly, a cytosine deaminase gene was introduced into the anaerobe *Clostridium sporogenes*, endowing these bacteria with potent anti-tumor effects when used in combination with fluorocytosine, a prodrug activated by the introduced gene (Liu et al., 2002). Our group has eliminated the major systemic toxin gene from the strict anaerobe *C. novyi*, creating a strain called *C. novyi-NT* that had anti-neoplastic activities when combined with selected chemotherapeutic agents (COBALT, for combination bacteriolytic therapy (Dang et al., 2001).

In a previous study of various chemotherapeutic agents the anti-microtubule agent dolastatin-10, was found to be particularly effective when used in combination with *C. novyi-NT* spores, though toxicity was problematic (Dang et al., 2001). The mechanistic basis for this efficacy was not known. There is a need in the art for a systematic exploration of the relationship between various anti-microtubule agents and bacteriolytic therapy.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to a first embodiment of the invention a method is provided for treating tumors in a mammal. Spores of a toxin-defective anaerobic bacterium are administered to a mammal. A toxin gene of a wild type form of the anaerobic bacterium is mutated or deleted, rendering the spores of the anaerobic bacterium less toxic to the mammal. An anti-tumor agent is also administered to the mammal. The anti-tumor agent is selected from the group consisting of HTI-286, MAC-321 and combinations thereof. As a result of the administrations, the tumor regresses or its growth is slowed or arrested.

According to a second embodiment of the invention a kit is provided for treating tumors. The components of the kit are in a divided or undivided container. The components comprises spores of an anaerobic bacterium which is toxin-defective, and an agent which interacts with microtubules selected from the group consisting of HTI-286 and MAC-321.

These and other embodiments of the invention which will be apparent to those of skill in the art upon reading the specification provide the art with an exciting modality for treating mammals including patients with tumors. These modalities cause striking tumor regressions without excessive toxicity.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**Figures 1A and 1B** Anatomic effects of drugs that destabilize microtubules on HCT116 tumors

Photographs of mice bearing HCT116 xenografts after treatment on day 0 with the microtubule destabilizers HTI-286 at 1.7 mg/kg (Fig. 1A) or vinorelbine at 20 mg/kg (Fig. 1B) with or without *C. novyi-NT*.

**Figures 2A and 2B** Anatomic effects of drugs that stabilize microtubules on HCT116 tumors

Photographs of mice bearing HCT116 xenografts after treatment on day 0 with the microtubule stabilizing agents docetaxel at 50 mg/kg (Fig. 2A) or MAC-321 (Fig. 2B) at 60 mg/kg with or without *C. novyi*-NT.

**Figures 3A through 3H** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and HTI-286 in mice bearing various xenografts

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with HTI-286 +/- *C. novyi*-NT spores. The dose of HTI-286 (1.7 to 2 mg/kg) used to treat each xenograft type was the smallest dose required to see significant effects when administered alone. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 3A, 3C, 3E, and 3G) and progression free survival (Kaplan-Meier plots, Figs. 3B, 3D, 3F, and 3H) are shown. Light blue: untreated control; Purple: *C. novyi*-NT spores alone; Green: drug alone; Red: drug plus *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figure 4** Anatomic effects of drugs that destabilize microtubules on various xenografts

Photographs of mice bearing the indicated xenografts were treated with *C. novyi*-NT with HTI-286 and photographed 3 days later.

**Figures 5A through 5D** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and vinorelbine in mice bearing HCT116 or HT29 xenografts.

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with vinorelbine (20 mg/kg) +/- *C. novyi*-NT spores. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 5A and 5C) and progression-free survival (Figs. 5B and 5D) are shown. Color code same as in Figs. 3A through 3H. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figures 6A through 6F** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and docetaxel in mice bearing various xenografts.

Animals (n > 5 for each arm) were treated with docetaxel +/- *C. novyi*-NT. The dose of docetaxel (50 to 75 mg/kg) was optimized for each xenograft type; for example, HT29 was less sensitive to docetaxel than the other tumor types. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 6A, 6C and 6E) and progression free survival (Kaplan-Meier plots, Figs. 6B, 6D and 6F) are shown. Color code same as in Figs. 3A through 3H. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figures 7A through 7F** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and MAC-321 in mice bearing various xenografts.

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with MAC-321 +/- *C. novyi*-NT. The dose of MAC-321 (45 to 70 mg/kg) was optimized for each xenograft type; for example, HuCC-T1 was more sensitive to MAC-321 than were the other tumor types. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 7A, 7C and 7E) and progression free survival (Kaplan-Meier plots, Figs. 7B, 7D and 7F) are shown. Color code same as in Figs. 3A through 3H. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figure 8** Effect of docetaxel and HTI-286 on blood flow.

Mice bearing subcutaneous xenografts of HCT116 cells, 400 - 500 mm<sup>3</sup> in size, were intravenously injected with HTI-286 (1.7 mg/kg) or docetaxel (50 mg/kg). Three or 24 hours after treatment, mice were intravenously injected with Hoechst 33342 (40 mg/kg) and euthanized two minutes later. Tumors were cryosectioned and viewed by fluorescence microscopy after counter-staining with acridine orange (AO). Endothelial cells are stained blue when they have taken up the Hoechst 33342 dye. Adjacent sections were stained with MECA-32 monoclonal antibody, a vascular endothelium-

specific marker. Arrows point towards normal blood vessels unaffected by HTI-286 treatment in overlying skin.

**Figures 9A through 9F** Effect of docetaxel and HTI-286 on Glut-1 expression.

Mice bearing subcutaneous xenografts of HCT116 cells, 400 - 500 mm<sup>3</sup> in size, were intravenously injected with HTI-286 (1.7 mg/kg; Figs. 9B, 9E) or docetaxel (50 mg/kg; Figs. 9C, 9F). Mice were euthanized six hours after treatment. Tumors were sectioned and H & E stained. Adjacent sections were stained for Glut-1 expression, a marker for hypoxia. Cells positive for Glut-1 appear brown. Arrows indicate examples of hemorrhage.

**Figure 10** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT plus low doses of HTI-286 and L-NNA on various xenografts.

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with HTI-286 (1 mg/kg) + L-NNA (10 mg/kg) +/- *C. novyi*-NT. HTI-286 at this dose had no significant effects on tumor growth when administered without L-NNA, and vice versa. The p-values are based on comparisons between L-NNA + HTI-286 and L-NNA + HTI-286 + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figure 11** Chemical structures of paclitaxel, docetaxel, and MAC-321.

**Figure 12** Chemical structures of HTI-286 and hemiasterlin.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

The tumor microenvironment is heterogeneous. Oxygen concentrations within single tumors vary widely and can dramatically alter the responses to therapies. We have targeted the poorly vascular regions of tumors with an anaerobic bacterium and the vascular regions of tumors with either microtubule stabilizing or destabilizing agents. We found that we could achieve major regressions and cures when these drugs were combined with

spores of anaerobic bacteria, but that the mechanisms underlying the efficacy varied with the class of drug used. Specific agents that target different tumor microenvironments can be combined to produce enhanced therapeutic results.

The bacteria useful in the practice of the present invention are anaerobic spore-formers. Suitable genera include but are not limited to Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli, and Clostridia. A number of species of these bacteria have been tested for their ability to grow in tumors in a robust and dispersed manner. *Clostridium novyi* and *Clostridium sordelii* were found to be the best of the strains we tested for these properties. Other strains and species having suitable characteristics can be used as well.

Decreasing the natural production of toxins is desirable in using bacteria therapeutically. While toxin-defective strains need not be totally non-toxigenic, it is desirable that at least one of the toxin genes be mutated, deleted, or otherwise inactivated to render the bacteria less harmful to the host. Preferably the toxicity is reduced by a factor of at least 2, 5, 10, 50, 100, or 1000. If a toxin gene is episomal or on a phage, then curing of the episome or phage can be used to delete the toxin gene. Techniques are well known in the art for mutagenesis, curing, and screening of mutants.

Isolated and bacteriologically pure vegetative bacteria or spores, according to the invention are those which are not contaminated with other bacteria or spores. Microbiological techniques for obtaining such pure cultures are well known in the art. Typically single colonies are picked and spread upon an agar nutrient medium, separating colonies so that new colonies arise that are the progeny of single cells. This process is typically repeated to ensure pure cultures. Alternatively, liquid cultures can be serially diluted and plated for single colony formation. Serial repetition is desirable to ensure colony formation from single cells. See, e.g., J. H. Miller, *Experiments in Molecular Genetics*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, NY, 1972.

Spores can be administered to a tumor-bearing mammal by any means which will afford access to the tumor. Spores can be injected intravenously, intradermally, subcutaneously, intramuscularly, intraperitoneally, intratumorally, intrathecally, surgically, etc. Preferred techniques are intravenous and intratumoral injections. Tumor bearing mammals can be humans, pets, such as dogs and cats, agricultural animals such as cows, sheep, horses, goats and pigs, and laboratory animals, such as rats, hamsters, monkeys, mice, and rabbits. The tumors to be treated are preferably large enough to have outgrown their blood supply and contain necrotic regions. This factor should not be limiting for most human tumor situations, as the great majority of clinically apparent human tumors have large necrotic regions within them.

Combination treatment involves administering anaerobic spores as well as a second anti-tumor agent. Together these agents produce a greater or more long-lasting decrease in the growth of the tumor. Second anti-tumor agents which can be used include any which are known in the art. Such anti-tumor agents include but are not limited to agents which stabilize microtubules, agents which collapse tumor vasculature, typically by inhibiting synthesis of microtubules, radiation, and anti-tumor antigen antibodies. These anti-tumor agents are administered according to the conventional means used in the art of medical and radiation oncology. The agents can be administered in any order or simultaneously. It may be desirable, however, to administer the spores prior to administering the second anti-tumor agent. If agents are to be administered serially, they are preferably administered within a span of a month, more preferably within a span of a fortnight, and even more preferably within a span of a week. Optimization of the time span is well within the skill of the art. Moreover, multiple anti-tumor agents can be administered in conjunction with the spores. Thus it may be desirable in order to achieve even greater reduction in tumor growth that a plurality of anti-tumor agents be used. Anti-tumor agents from different categories or

mechanisms may achieve superior results. Thus a preferred combination includes spores and a microtubule stabilizing agent.

Anti-tumor agents which stabilize microtubules include, but are not limited to the taxanes, such as 10-deacetyltaxol; 7-epi-10-deacetyltaxol; 7-xylosyl-10-deacetyltaxol; 7-epi-taxol; cephalomannine; baccatin III; baccatin V; 10-deacetyl baccatin III; 7-epi-10-deacetyl baccatin III; 2-debenzoyl-2-(p-trifluoromethylbenzoyl)taxol; and 20-acetoxy-4-deacetyl-5-epi-20, O-secotaxol. Other microtubule stabilizers which can be used include arsenic trioxide, discodermolide, epothilone B, MAC-321 and (+)-14-normethyldiscodermolide.

Anti-tumor agents which function to collapse tumor vessels include but are not limited to vinblastine, vincristine, colchicine, combrestatin A4P, dolastatin-10, auristatin PE, auristatin PHE, D-24851, HTI-286, noscapine, vinorelbine, and 5,6 dimethylxanthenone-4-acetic acid. Others as are known or discovered with the same function can be used. Such agents are believed to function by inhibiting the synthesis of microtubules.

Nitric oxide synthetase inhibitors, especially inhibitors of the eNOS isoform of the enzyme, can be used to potentiate the effects of the microtubule-interacting drugs. Suitable inhibitors include but are not limited to L-NNA, NG-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA), and L-NAME.

Kits comprising the useful components for practicing the anti-tumor methods of the present invention can be packaged in a divided or undivided container, such as a carton, bottle, ampule, tube, etc. The spores and anti-tumor agents can be packaged in dried, lyophilized, or liquid form. Additional components provided can include vehicles for reconstitution of dried components. Preferably all such vehicles are sterile and apyrogenic so that they are suitable for injection into a mammal without causing adverse reactions. The anti-tumor agents other than the spores are also

preferably sterile. The spores are preferably microbiologically pure, i.e., containing no other bacteria other than the desired spore-forming anaerobe. Instructions for practicing the method of the invention may be included in the kit, in paper or electronic medium. A reference to a website that teaches the method may also be provided.

The above disclosure generally describes the present invention. A more complete understanding can be obtained by reference to the following specific examples which are provided herein for purposes of illustration only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

#### EXAMPLE 1-- Materials & Methods

##### Drugs

Dolastatin 10, auristatin 15-PE, auristatin PYE, and pancratistatin 7-O-phosphate were synthesized by Dr. G. R. Pettit (Cancer Research Institute, Arizona State University, AZ) (Pettit, 1997). Discodermolide, (+)-14-normethyldiscodermolide synthesized by Dr. A. Smith (University of Pennsylvania, PA) (Smith et al., 2000). Epothilone B was synthesized by S. Danishevsky, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY (Altmann, 2003). HTI-286 [ $N,\beta,\beta$ -trimethyl-L-phenylalanyl- $N^1$ -[(1S, 2E)-3-carboxy-1-isopropylbut-2-enyl]- $N^1$ , 3-dimethyl-L-valinamide] was synthesized at Wyeth Research, Pearl River, New York (Loganzo et al., 2003). MAC-321 [ $5\beta$ , 20-epoxy-1, 2 $\alpha$ -, 4-, 7 $\beta$ -, 10 $\beta$ -, 13 $\alpha$ -hexahydroxytax-11-en-9-one 4 acetate 2 benzoate 7 propionate 13-ester with (2R, 3S)-N-tertbutoxycarbonyl-3-(2-furyl) isoserine](Sampath et al., 2003) was synthesized by Taxolog, Inc. (Fairfield, NJ). Combretastatin A4P and D-24851 were synthesized by Saeed Khan, Sidney-Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD. Synthetic methods are cited in the references listed in Table 1. Vincristine, vinblastine, vinorelbine, paclitaxel, and docetaxel were purchased from the Johns Hopkins Hospital Pharmacy. Colchicine, noscapine, arsenic trioxide, and L-NNA were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

### Cell lines and animals

Female athymic nude and C57BL/6 mice 6 to 8 weeks of age were purchased from Harlan. HCT116, DLD1, SW480, HT29, LS174T, and CaPan-1 cells were obtained from ATCC. HuCC-T1 cells were obtained from A. Maitra, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD (Miyagiwa et al., 1989). HCT116 cells in which the p53 gene had been disrupted through homologous recombination have been previously described (Bunz et al., 1998). All cell lines were grown as monolayers in McCoy 5A medium (Gibco) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

### Tumorigenicity assays

All animal protocols were designed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication 86-23) and were approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Six to eight week old mice were implanted subcutaneously on one flank with 3 to 6 million cancer cells. Establishment of tumors of 150 to 500 mm<sup>3</sup> took 8 to 21 days, depending on the cell type. *C. novyi*-NT spores (300 x 10<sup>6</sup>) and anti-microtubule agents were generally administered by a single intravenous injection through the tail vein in a total volume of 300 to 500 ul phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Quantitative assessment of tumor growth was performed by measuring subcutaneous tumor grafts (major and minor axis) using electronic calipers. Tumor volume was calculated using the equation: length x width<sup>2</sup> x 0.5. Progression free survival was defined as the length of time following treatment before any increase in tumor volume was observed. Mice were considered "cured" if no tumor was evident three months after treatment. Animals were weighed at least twice a week and carefully observed for signs of toxicity every day for the first week following treatment and then twice a week thereafter. At least five mice were used for each treatment arm described in the Experimental Results.

#### Assessment of blood perfusion

Mice bearing HCT116 xenografts of 300 to 500 mm<sup>3</sup> were injected intravenously with anti-microtubule agents. At various times thereafter, they were intravenously injected with Hoechst 33342 (40 mg/kg), then euthanized two minutes later by CO<sub>2</sub> narcosis. Tumors were fixed in Tissue-Tek OTC compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA) and stored at -80°C. Frozen sections 10 microns in thickness were prepared with a Leica Microsystems cryostat and counterstained with acridine orange, 7.5 ug/ml, in PBS for 5 minutes. Adjacent sections were sequentially incubated with the MECA-32 monoclonal antibody (Pharmingen), a biotinylated anti-rat antibody (Jackson), and rhodamine-streptavidin (Vector). At least three sections of each of three tumors, representing middle and distal parts of the tumor, were examined under fluorescence microscopy for each agent tested, and representative results are illustrated in the figures.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin sections were deparaffinized, incubated with proteinase K (Invitrogen), heated at 95°C for 20 min in citrate buffer (pH 6), and treated with peroxidase blocking reagent (Dako). Sections were incubated with a monoclonal antibody against Glucose Transporter-1 (Glut-1, Dako) followed by a biotin-conjugated secondary antibody (Pierce). A third layer consisting of HRP-conjugated anti-biotin (DAKO) was followed by diaminobenzidine (Sigma) staining. Sections were counterstained with eosin.

#### Quantification of *C. novyi*-NT in tumors

Mice bearing HCT116 xenografts were treated with *C. novyi*-NT spores with or without HTI-286 (1.7 mg/kg) as described above. Following euthanasia 24-48 hr later, tumors were harvested, weighed, minced, and Dounce-homogenized. Genomic DNA was isolated using the Qiagen Genomic DNA Buffer Set and Genomic-tip 100/G. 25 ng of DNA was

used in a PCR using the conditions described in (Vogelstein and Kinzler, 1999). The following primers specific for the *C. novyi*-NT phospholipase C gene were used: 5'- AAGATGGTACAGGA ACTCATTCC and 5'- GCTTGTCCGAAATACCATGTTGC. No detectable primer dimers formed and no nonspecific amplification of mouse or xenograft genomic DNA occurred with these primers and PCR conditions. Real-time PCR was performed using an iCycler, and threshold cycle numbers were calculated using the iCycler Optical system interface software (Bio-Rad Lab, Hercules, CA). Averages of the threshold cycle number (C<sub>t</sub>) of triplicate measurements per tumor were obtained, and three different tumors were studied for each treatment group. The results were expressed as the difference between the C<sub>t</sub> of the treated tumor and the C<sub>t</sub> of untreated controls, normalized to tumor weight.

#### Statistical analysis

Progression-free survival across treatment groups was compared using Kaplan-Meier curves. Significance of differences was assessed using the log-rank test. Longitudinal data about tumor sizes was analyzed in two stages. First, for each animal *i*, we fit a regression equation of the form  $\log(1+y_{it}) = a + b_i t$ , where *t* is time and *y<sub>it</sub>* is the tumor volume of animal *i* at time *t*, relative to the tumor volume of the same animal at time 0. The p-values were determined using a student t-test on the exponential regression slope for each individual mouse. This approach was motivated by the need to account for within-animal correlations in tumor-volume measurements, as described in Section 6.3 of (Diggle PJ, 1994). The fit of the animal specific regressions was generally good, with R-squared values ranging from 0.99 to 0.80.

#### EXAMPLE 2--Comparison of microtubule-interacting chemotherapeutic agents

The timing, route, and dose of agents are critical for the success of any experimental therapy. When two agents are combined, the number of

variables that must be tested increases exponentially. To simplify interpretation of the comparisons between various anti-microtubule agents, pilot studies with representative drugs were performed. Based on the optima found through these pilots, the following protocol was implemented: (i) several doses of each chemotherapeutic agent were tested, up to a maximum of the LD50; (ii) the dose of *C. novyi*-NT was 3 x 108 spores; and (iii) the chemotherapeutic agents and bacterial spores were mixed in a total volume of 300 to 500  $\mu$ l and injected intravenously. This joint administration was selected for convenience, as it was found that administration of representative chemotherapeutic agents within several hours before or after the administration of bacterial spores was as efficacious as administering the spores and drugs together.

Eighteen agents known to interact with microtubules were each tested in this manner in nude mice harboring xenografts of HCT116 human colorectal cancer cells. At least five mice harboring tumors of 150 to 500 mm<sup>3</sup> in size were used for each experimental arm. Table 1 lists the agents tested, the doses, and the results obtained. Two classes of responses were noted. One type of response was characterized by a rapid hemorrhagic necrosis, observed only with agents that inhibited microtubule synthesis (Figure 1A, B). The other type of response was characterized by a slow regression, observed with agents that stabilized microtubules (Figure 2A, B). The two most promising agents from each class, based on efficacy and toxicity, were chosen for further study: these were the microtubule destabilizers HTI-286 and vinorelbine and the microtubule stabilizers docetaxel and MAC-321.

**Table 1. Microtubule-interacting agents**

Agent	Dose* (route)	Effect alone**	Effect with <i>C. novyi-NT</i> **	Reference
<b>Microtubule stabilizers</b>				
arsenic trioxide	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	Griffin, 2000
discodermolide	20 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	++++	ter Haar, 1996
docetaxel	15 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	++++	
	75 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	50 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++++	++++	Rowinsky, 1997
	25 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	+++	
	12.5 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	
	25 mg/kg QDx4 (ip)	NT	+	Bollag, 1995
epothilone B	15 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	+	Martello, 2001
(+)-14-normethyldiscodermolide	30 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	Rowinsky, 1997
paclitaxel	15 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	0	
MAC-321	70 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	Sampath, 2003
	60 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	50 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	45 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	10 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	
<b>Microtubule destabilizers</b>				
auristatin PE	2 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	Pettit, 1995
auristatin PHE	0.2 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	Woyke, 2001
colchicine	20 mg/kg x1 (ip)	++	++	Baguley, 1991
	5 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	+	
	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	+	
combreastatin A4P	200 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	+	Tozer, 2002
D-24851	50 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	
	100 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	Bachar, 2001
dolastatin 10	25 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	
	0.45 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+++	
	0.30 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+++	
HTI-286	2.0 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	++++	Chaplin, 1996
	1.9 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	++++	Loganzo, 2003
	1.7 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	+++	
	1.5 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	
	1.0 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	

Agent	Dose* (route)	Effect alone**	Effect with <i>C. novyi</i> -NT**	Reference
noscapine	0.5 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	
	70 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	
	50 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	Landen, 2002
vinristine	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	
	5 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	Baguley, 1991
vinblastine	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	0	
	5 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	+	Baguley, 1991
vinorelbine	24 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	0	
	20 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	+++	Holwell, 2001
	16 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	

\* only doses below the LD<sub>50</sub> are shown

\*\*NT: not tested; 0: no response; +: inhibition of tumor growth but no tumor shrinkage; ++: 10% to 50% shrinkage in tumor volume relative to pre-treatment size; +++: >50% shrinkage in tumor volume relative to pre-treatment size; +++++: >20% cures

COBALT/HTI-286. A modest amount of hemorrhagic necrosis was observed following administration of HTI-286 to mice bearing HCT116 xenografts, but this necrosis was dramatically enhanced by co-administration of *C. novyi*-NT spores (Figure 1A). This response translated into prolonged growth inhibition (Figure 3A) and substantial increases in time to progression (Figure 3B).

We next evaluated the responses to COBALT/HTI-286 in other murine tumor models. There was a striking similarity in the initial responses to COBALT/HTI-286 in all models tested, including the DLD1, SW480, LS174T, HT29, and HCT116-p53-/- colorectal cancers, the HuCC-T1 cholangiocarcinoma, the CaPan-1 pancreatic cancer and the B16 melanoma. All models were human tumor cell lines xenografted into nude mice with the exception of B16, which was a murine cell line transplanted into syngeneic mice. All underwent hemorrhagic necrosis within 2 or 3 days of treatment (examples in Figure 4A to D), resulting in tumor shrinkage (examples in Figure 3C, E, and G). There was much more variability, however, in the responses over the long term. In some cases, such as HCT116 and HuCC-T1, approximately 40% of mice were cured by a single intravenous injection of *C. novyi*-NT plus HTI-286 (Figure 3B and D, respectively). In other cases, such as HT29 and CaPan-1, cures were observed in <10% of mice (Figure 3F and H). The other tumor models responded at a level intermediate to that of HCT116 and HT29. It is important to note that in all cases the addition of *C. novyi*-NT resulted in an improvement over the responses obtained with HTI-286 alone. It was also notable that the p53 status of tumors, which often appears to modify therapeutic effects (Pirolo et al., 2000), had little influence on the efficacy of COBALT/HTI-286. The most direct demonstration of this was provided by treatment of xenografts derived from HCT116 cells in which the p53 gene was purposefully disrupted by gene targeting (Bunz et al., 1998); the results were very similar to those shown in Figure 3A and B for parental HCT116 cells, with 38% of the mice cured of their p53-/- tumors.

COBALT/vinorelbine. Vinorelbine is a clinically approved drug that, like HTI-286, inhibits microtubule synthesis and thereby disrupts microtubules. Two tumor xenograft models, one (HCT116) relatively sensitive to COBALT/HTI-286 and one (HT29) relatively resistant to COBALT/HTI-286 were chosen to evaluate COBALT/vinorelbine. COBALT/vinorelbine also induced hemorrhagic necrosis, though this necrosis was less pronounced than that obtained with COBALT/HTI-286 (compare Figure 1B with Figure 1A). As with COBALT/ HTI-286, HCT116 responded much better to COBALT/vinorelbine than did HT29 (Figure 5).

COBALT/docetaxel and COBALT/MAC-321. As noted above, a very different type of response was observed with *C. novyi*-NT when used in combination with microtubule stabilizing drugs, exemplified by docetaxel and MAC-321. In particular, there was a much slower response and no hemorrhagic necrosis following COBALT with these agents (Figure 2). Additionally, the tumors generally underwent a more pronounced regression with docetaxel or MAC-321 alone than with HTI-286 or vinorelbine alone. Nevertheless, the addition of *C. novyi*-NT spores substantially improved the durations of the remissions achieved with these agents. All mice bearing HCT116 xenografts treated with COBALT/docetaxel were cured, unlike the case with docetaxel alone (Figure 6A, B). The responses of mice bearing HuCC-T1 were also encouraging (Figure 6 C, D). Like the case with COBALT/HTI-286, HT29 did not respond nearly as well as the other two tumor types tested (Figure 6E, F). HCT116 cells with genetically disrupted p53 genes also responded to COBALT/docetaxel, with complete regressions in all mice and cures in 44%, whereas docetaxel alone cured only 13% of the mice.

The responses of HCT116 tumors to COBALT/MAC-321 were very similar to those of COBALT/docetaxel, as would have been predicted from their structural similarity. The three xenograft types tested underwent major regressions, with cures achieved in a substantial fraction of two of

them (Figure 7). Though the initial tumor regressions were no more impressive with MAC-321 plus *C. novyi*-NT than with MAC-321 alone, the duration of the responses was markedly different when *C. novyi*-NT was added. Four of seven mice harboring HuCC-T1 xenografts were cured following *C. novyi*-NT plus MAC-321 treatment, whereas only one of eight mice treated with MAC-321 alone was cured ( $p < 0.05$ ; Figure 7D). Similarly, all mice bearing HCT116 xenografts were cured following COBALT/MAC-321 while no mice treated with the MAC-321 alone were cured ( $p < 0.0001$  Figure 7B).

#### EXAMPLE 3--Mechanisms underlying the two classes of responses

We sought to determine the basis for the differences in responses observed with the two classes of microtubule-interacting drugs, represented by HTI-286 and docetaxel, when used in conjunction with *C. novyi*-NT. It has previously been shown that some microtubule-interacting drugs, particularly those that inhibit microtubule synthesis such as DMXAA and combretastatin A4P, inhibit tumor blood flow (Baguley, 2003) (Tozer et al., 2002). To test whether HTI-286 and docetaxel affected blood flow, we treated HCT116 tumor-bearing mice with these drugs, then intravenously injected the cell-permeable DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33342 two minutes prior to sacrifice. Hoechst predominantly labels the nuclei of endothelial cells in such experiments, as the dye does not have time to diffuse through the tissue during the interval between injection and sacrifice (Bernsen et al., 1999). Frozen sections of the tumors revealed that blood flow to the tumors was almost completely inhibited by HTI-286, a microtubule destabilizer, by 3 hr and that this inhibition remained for at least 24 hr (Figure 8). In contrast, docetaxel, a microtubule stabilizer, had no observable effects on blood flow (Figure 8). The effect of HTI-286 on blood flow was tumor-specific in that Hoechst staining of the blood vessels in the skin overlying the tumors was not affected (e.g., arrows in 3 and 24 hr time points in Figure 8). Staining adjacent sections with the endothelial specific antibody MECA32 revealed that endothelial cells were still present

after treatment with HTI-286 but they were not being perfused with the Hoechst dye dissolved in the blood. Many of them looked abnormal, with few of the long continuous vessels that were evident in controls or in animals treated with docetaxel.

These results suggested that treatment with microtubule destabilizers increased the extent of hypoxia within tumors by inhibiting blood flow, thereby creating a larger niche for the anaerobic bacteria to populate. Two independent tests of this hypothesis were performed. First, we directly assessed hypoxia within tumors by staining with an antibody to Glucose Transporter-1 (Glut-1). Glut-1 has been extensively studied and shown to be specifically expressed only in hypoxic regions (Airley et al., 2001). Tumors treated with HTI-286 expressed large amounts of Glut-1 within 6 hr of treatment (Fig. 9E). Small lakes of erythrocytes, indicating static blood flow, were observed in adjacent sections, and no evidence of tumor cell death was observed at these early time points after HTI-286 treatment (Fig. 9B). No increase of Glut-1 staining was found in tumors treated with docetaxel (Fig. 9F) compared to untreated tumors (Fig. 9D).

Second, we directly tested the size of bacterial populations within tumors by measuring the number of bacteria at various times following intravenous injection of *C. novyi*-NT spores into mice bearing HCT116 xenografts. Because of the exquisite sensitivity of these bacteria to oxygen, it was difficult to reliably assess the number of bacteria in tumors through conventional microbiologic evaluation, and we chose to use quantitative PCR methods for this purpose. Using primers specific for the phospholipase C gene of *C. novyi*-NT (Tsutsui et al., 1995), we found that these bacteria accumulated to a level of  $4.8 \times 10^8$  bacteria per gram of tumor (range 2.2 to  $8.1 \times 10^8$ ) after 24 hours when administered without chemotherapeutic drugs. When co-injected with HTI-286, the number of bacteria increased by 6.8-fold  $\pm 0.08$  (standard deviation). In contrast,

when co-administered with docetaxel, the number of bacteria increased by only 1.6-fold +/-0.3 (standard deviation).

#### EXAMPLE 4--Lowering the dose of microtubule destabilizers

Clinical trials of microtubule destabilizers have often been disappointing in that the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was reached before any anti-vascular effects were observed. A recent study has shown that the dose of the microtubule destabilizers combretastatin A4P could be reduced when nitric oxide synthesis (NOS) inhibitors were concurrently administered (Parkins et al., 2000). This strategy was based on the observation that the vascular relaxing compound NO is released from some tumor cells in the presence of vascular injury and could thereby combating the effects of the combretastatin A4P on tumor blood flow (Parkins et al., 2000). In view of the anti-vascular action of HTI-286 described above, we tested whether this concept could be applied to treatment with HTI-286. We administered a well-tolerated dose of the NOS inhibitor L-NNA together with varying doses of HTI-286 to mice bearing HCT116 xenografts. We found that L-NNA added little to the effects observed with either *C. novyi*-NT alone or high doses of HTI-286 plus *C. novyi*-NT, when vascular shut-down was already complete. However, L-NNA significantly enhanced the responses to low doses of HTI-286 without adding toxicity. The response was enhanced further when L-NNA and low doses of HTI-286 were used in combination with *C. novyi*-NT (Figure 10A). Marked hemorrhagic necrosis was observed, similar to that shown in Figure 1, while little hemorrhagic necrosis was observed when any one of the three components (L-NNA, HTI-286 at 1 mg/kg, or *C. novyi*-NT spores) were left out. *C. novyi*-NT was able to substantially improve the therapeutic effects of treatment with L-NNA plus low doses of HTI-286 in HuCC-T1, SW480, and B16 tumors, but had only small effects in HT29 and DLD-1 cells (examples in Figure 10B – F).

#### EXAMPLE 5--Toxicity of COBALT

In our previous study of *C. novyi*-NT plus dolastatin-10 and mitomycin C, toxicity was of particular concern, as up to 30% of the mice with tumors died from the treatment. This toxicity was observed only when the combination of *C. novyi*-NT spores and the drugs was administered and not with either the bacteria or the drugs alone. The new combinations described in the current study proved to be significantly less toxic. A total of >600 nude mice bearing relatively large xenografts were treated with *C. novyi*-NT in combination with HTI-286, vinorelbine, docetaxel, or MAC-321. Though major tumor regressions were common, few mice died as a result of therapy (Table 2). No deaths occurred after treatment with the microtubule stabilizers plus *C. novyi*-NT, while 4% to 8% of mice treated with microtubule destabilizers plus *C. novyi*-NT died. The only other toxicity observed was transient weight loss (Table 3). Mice treated with the drugs alone lost ~5 to 10% of their body weight within 7 days, while weight loss after treatment with *C. novyi*-NT plus these drugs varied from 8% to 18%. All weights recovered to pre-treatment levels within two weeks.

**Table 2. Mortality following  
COBALT**

Treatment	Drug dose (mg/kg)	# mice	# dead (%) <sup>*</sup>
No treatment control	---	110	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> spores	---	118	0
docetaxel	25.0	5	0
	50.0	43	0
	75.0	34	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + docetaxel	25.0	5	0
	50.0	45	0
	75.0	33	0
MAC-321	45.0	28	0
	50.0	9	0
	60.0	23	0
	70.0	9	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + MAC-321	45.0	32	0
	50.0	9	0
	60.0	23	0
vinorelbine	70.0	9	0
	16.0	16	0
	20.0	12	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + vinorelbine	24.0	12	0
	16.0	26	0
	20.0	15	1 (6.7%)
HTI-286	24.0	12	0
	1.7	104	3 (2.9%)
	1.9	55	3 (5.4%)
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + HTI-286	2.0	47	5 (10.6%)
	1.7	127	10 (7.9%)
	1.9	98	4 (4.1%)
	2.0	188	8 (4.3%)

<sup>\*</sup>Treatment-related deaths (i.e., those that occurred within one week of the initiation of therapy) were determined from experiments with HCT116, HT29, DLD1, SW480, Capan-1 and HuCC-T1 xenografts.

**Table 3. Weight loss after COBALT**

Treatment	Drug dose (mg/kg)	# mice	Weight Loss (%) <sup>*</sup>
No treatment control	---	39	0.0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> spores	---	8	5.5
docetaxel	50.0	8	11.0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + docetaxel	50.0	9	12.0
MAC-321	60.0	8	5.5
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + MAC-321	60.0	7	10.2
vinorelbine	24.0	6	8.3
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + vinorelbine	24.0	6	8.3
HTI-286	1.7	6	11.4
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + HTI-286	1.7	6	18.3

<sup>\*</sup>Weight loss was determined from experiments with HCT116 xenografts. The maximum average weight loss relative to the weight one day prior to treatment is indicated.

The results described above show that *C. novyi*-NT, when combined with specific microtubule-interacting drugs, can have dramatic effects on experimental tumors. Hemorrhagic necrosis following COBALT/microtubule destabilizers was observed in all nine tumor models tested. *C. novyi*-NT was also found to cooperate with microtubule stabilizing agents, converting the transient tumor regressions obtained with the drugs alone to cures in the most sensitive models. It was notable that these responses were observed after only a single dose of *C. novyi*-NT spores plus drugs.

Several intriguing questions are raised by these data. The first relates to the markedly different effects of microtubule stabilizers and microtubule destabilizers. Microtubule stabilizers like docetaxel and MAC-321 had substantial anti-tumor effects on their own, but rarely produced cures when administered only once. From the results presented in this paper, we surmise that these drugs leave foci of tumor cells in poorly perfused regions of the tumors, either because adequate concentrations of drugs are not reached in these regions or because the tumor cells there are not replicating and are therefore not as susceptible to the cytocidal activities of these agents. Because *C. novyi*-NT specifically targets the hypoxic areas least likely to be killed by these agents, it is not surprising that the spores can cooperate with them. Though delivery of spores to the poorly perfused areas of tumors may be relatively low, it presumably only requires one or a few spores to reach such areas. With a doubling time of 45 minutes, the *C. novyi*-NT can rapidly proliferate, and as shown previously (Dang et al., 2001), the germinated bacteria have the capacity to kill surrounding tumor cells, stopping only when they reach the well-oxygenated regions.

In contrast, microtubule destabilizers appear to disrupt blood flow within the tumors, presumably because the microtubule networks in vascular endothelial cells or perivascular smooth muscle cells are specifically sensitive to such disruption (Griggs et al., 2001). Though the basis for this

differential sensitivity is not understood, it is clear that many microtubule destabilizing agents have similar effects on tumor vasculature, rapidly stopping blood flow to the tumor (Griggs et al., 2001). HTI-286 is a novel anti-microtubule destabilizer that binds  $\alpha$ -tubulin at the vinca-peptide binding site, unlike classic agents such as colchicine or combretastatin A4 (Hamel and Covell, 2002). Because HTI-286 stops blood flow without destroying endothelial cells, much like these previously studied agents, it is clear that the disruption of microtubules per se, rather than the way in which the drug binds to microtubules, is critical for this activity. Based on these observations, we conclude that the efficacy of COBALT/HTI-286 is in part due to the ability of the drugs to decrease blood flow and thereby create larger regions of hypoxia for the spores to germinate and multiply. This conclusion is consistent with the demonstration that there were far greater numbers of bacteria in tumors treated with COBALT/HTI-286 than with *C. novyi*-NT alone.

Several other practical points emerged from our study. Toxicity is always a serious issue when dealing with biologic agents that are capable of self-replicating. In our initial studies with *C. novyi*-NT, both dolastatin-10 and mitomycin C were required to achieve pronounced effects, and this triple combination was toxic, killing up to a third of the animals (Dang et al., 2001). The new combinations, generally employing only a single agent in combination with *C. novyi*-NT, had acceptable toxicities (Tables 2 and 3). Importantly, no deaths were observed in animals treated with *C. novyi*-NT alone, COBALT/docetaxel or COBALT/MAC-321 (Table 2), despite the substantial cure rates achieved when using either combination (Figures 6, 7). The basis for the small number of deaths that occurred after COBALT/HTI-286 or COBALT/vinorelbine is unclear. Deaths were a bit more common in very large tumors ( $>650$  mm<sup>3</sup>) than in tumors of small or intermediate size. This suggests that tumor lysis syndrome, sepsis, or release of toxic products from the tumors plays a role in the toxicity of COBALT/HTI-286, each of which can be expected to be greater in larger

tumors. Detailed necropsy studies of animals that died after COBALT/HTI-286 have not revealed any specific abnormalities that would point to a specific cause of death.

Another issue that has been raised with regard to anaerobic bacteriolytic therapies concerns the size of tumors that can be successfully treated. Smaller tumors are expected to have a smaller fraction of necrosis and hypoxia, thereby limiting the potential of anaerobic bacteria to proliferate. The results obtained in the current study suggest that this may not be as much of a problem as anticipated. Though reduced efficacy was observed with HCT116 tumors of  $<100$  mm<sup>3</sup> in size compared to larger tumors, complete regressions were achieved with tumors as small as 100 mm<sup>3</sup>, 250 mm<sup>3</sup> and 150 mm<sup>3</sup> after COBALT/HTI-286, COBALT/docetaxel, and COBALT/MAC-321, respectively, while such regressions were not seen after administration of the same drugs in the absence of *C. novyi*-NT spores.

There were other encouraging findings in this study that may be applicable to the planning of future clinical trials. The effective dose range of *C. novyi*-NT was very wide. Though we chose to administer 3 x 10<sup>8</sup> spores per mouse, we found that 100-fold lower doses (3 x 10<sup>6</sup>) induced >90% shrinkage of HCT116 tumors when given together with the standard dose of HTI-286 (unpublished data). The relatively low toxicity and the ability of *C. novyi*-NT spores to enhance the effects of several anti-microtubule agents with different mechanisms of action in several different tumor models also bodes well for future clinical application.

## References

Airley, R., Lancaster, J., Davidson, S., Bromley, M., Roberts, S., Patterson, A., Hunter, R., Stratford, I., and West, C. (2001). Glucose transporter glut-1 expression correlates with tumor hypoxia and predicts metastasis-free survival in advanced carcinoma of the cervix. *Clin Cancer Res* 7, 928-934.

Altmann, K. H. (2003). Epothilone B and its analogs - a new family of anticancer agents. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 3, 149-158.

Bacher, G., Nickel, B., Emig, P., Vanhoefer, U., Seeber, S., Shandra, A., Klenner, T., and Beckers, T. (2001). D-24851, a novel synthetic microtubule inhibitor, exerts curative antitumoral activity *in vivo*, shows efficacy toward multidrug-resistant tumor cells, and lacks neurotoxicity. *Cancer Res* 61, 392-399.

Baguley, B. C. (2003). Antivascular therapy of cancer: DMXAA. *Lancet Oncol* 4, 141-148.

Baguley, B. C., Holdaway, K. M., Thomsen, L. L., Zhuang, L., and Zwi, L. J. (1991). Inhibition of growth of colon 38 adenocarcinoma by vinblastine and colchicine: evidence for a vascular mechanism. *Eur J Cancer* 27, 482-487.

Bernsen, H. J., Rijken, P. F., Hagemeier, N. E., and van der Kogel, A. J. (1999). A quantitative analysis of vascularization and perfusion of human glioma xenografts at different implantation sites. *Microvasc Res* 57, 244-257.

Bollag, D. M., McQueney, P. A., Zhu, J., Hensens, O., Koupal, L., Liesch, J., Goetz, M., Lazarides, E., and Woods, C. M. (1995). Epothilones, a new class of microtubule-stabilizing agents with a taxol-like mechanism of

action. *Cancer Res* 55, 2325-2333.

Brown, J. M. (2002). Tumor microenvironment and the response to anticancer therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther* 1, 453-458.

Brown, J. M., and Giaccia, A. J. (1998). The unique physiology of solid tumors: opportunities (and problems) for cancer therapy. *Cancer Res* 58, 1408-1416.

Bunz, F., Dutriaux, A., Lengauer, C., Waldman, T., Zhou, S., Brown, J. P., Sedivy, J. M., Kinzler, K. W., and Vogelstein, B. (1998). Requirement for p53 and p21 to sustain G2 arrest after DNA damage. *Science* 282, 1497-1501.

Chaplin, D. J., Pettit, G. R., Parkins, C. S., and Hill, S. A. (1996). Antivascular approaches to solid tumour therapy: evaluation of tubulin binding agents. *Br J Cancer Suppl* 27, S86-88.

Chen, J. G., and Horwitz, S. B. (2002). Differential mitotic responses to microtubule-stabilizing and -destabilizing drugs. *Cancer Res* 62, 1935-1938.

Dang, L. H., Bettegowda, C., Huso, D. L., Kinzler, K. W., and Vogelstein, B. (2001). Combination bacteriolytic therapy for the treatment of experimental tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 27, 27.

Diggle PJ, L. K.-Y., Zeger SL (1994). *Analysis of Longitudinal Data*, Oxford University Press).

Folkman, J. (2002). Role of angiogenesis in tumor growth and metastasis. *Semin Oncol* 29, 15-18.

Griffin, R. J., Lee, S. H., Rood, K. L., Stewart, M. J., Lyons, J. C., Lew, Y. S., Park, H., and Song, C. W. (2000). Use of arsenic trioxide as an

antivascular and thermosensitizing agent in solid tumors. *Neoplasia* 2, 555-560.

Griggs, J., Metcalfe, J. C., and Hesketh, R. (2001). Targeting tumour vasculature: the development of combretastatin A4. *Lancet Oncol* 2, 82-87.

Hamel, E., and Covell, D. G. (2002). Antimitotic peptides and depsipeptides. *Curr Med Chem Anti-Canc Agents* 2, 19-53.

Heppner, F., and Mose, J. R. (1978). The liquefaction (oncolysis) of malignant gliomas by a non pathogenic Clostridium. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 42, 123-125.

Jain, R. K., and Forbes, N. S. (2001). Can engineered bacteria help control cancer? *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98, 14748-14750.

Kerbel, R. S. (2000). Tumor angiogenesis: past, present and the near future. *Carcinogenesis* 21, 505-515.

Landen, J. W., Lang, R., McMahon, S. J., Rusan, N. M., Yvon, A. M., Adams, A. W., Sorcinelli, M. D., Campbell, R., Bonaccorsi, P., Ansel, J. C., *et al.* (2002). Noscapine alters microtubule dynamics in living cells and inhibits the progression of melanoma. *Cancer Res* 62, 4109-4114.

Liu, S. C., Minton, N. P., Giaccia, A. J., and Brown, J. M. (2002). Anticancer efficacy of systemically delivered anaerobic bacteria as gene therapy vectors targeting tumor hypoxia/necrosis. *Gene Ther* 9, 291-296.

Loganzo, F., Discafani, C. M., Annable, T., Beyer, C., Musto, S., Hari, M., Tan, X., Hardy, C., Hernández, R., Baxter, M., *et al.* (2003). HTI-286, a synthetic analogue of the tripeptide hemiasterlin, is a potent antimicrotubule agent that circumvents P-glycoprotein-mediated resistance

in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Res* 63, 1838-1845.

Low, K. B., Ittensohn, M., Le, T., Platt, J., Sodi, S., Amoss, M., Ash, O., Carmichael, E., Chakraborty, A., Fischer, J., *et al.* (1999). Lipid A mutant *Salmonella* with suppressed virulence and TNF $\alpha$  induction retain tumor-targeting in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol* 17, 37-41.

Martello, L. A., LaMarche, M. J., He, L., Beauchamp, T. J., Smith, A. B., and Horwitz, S. B. (2001). The relationship between Taxol and (+)-discodermolide: synthetic analogs and modeling studies. *Chem Biol* 8, 843-855.

Miyagiwa, M., Ichida, T., Tokiwa, T., Sato, J., and Sasaki, H. (1989). A new human cholangiocellular carcinoma cell line (HuCC-T1) producing carbohydrate antigen 19/9 in serum-free medium. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol* 25, 503-510.

Parkins, C. S., Holder, A. L., Hill, S. A., Chaplin, D. J., and Tozer, G. M. (2000). Determinants of anti-vascular action by combretastatin A-4 phosphate: role of nitric oxide. *Br J Cancer* 83, 811-816.

Pettit, G. R. (1997). The dolastatins. *Fortschr Chem Org Naturst* 70, 1-79.  
Pettit, G. R., Srirangam, J. K., Barkoczy, J., Williams, M. D., Durkin, K. P., Boyd, M. R., Bai, R., Hamel, E., Schmidt, J. M., and Chapuis, J. C. (1995). Antineoplastic agents 337. Synthesis of dolastatin 10 structural modifications. *Anticancer Drug Des* 10, 529-544.

Pirollo, K. F., Bouker, K. B., and Chang, E. H. (2000). Does p53 status influence tumor response to anticancer therapies? *Anticancer Drugs* 11, 419-432.

Rowinsky, E. K. (1997). The development and clinical utility of the taxane

class of antimicrotubule chemotherapy agents. *Annu Rev Med* 48, 353-374.

Sampath, D., Discafani, C. M., Loganzo, F., Beyer, C., Liu, H., Tan, X., Musto, S., and Annable, T., Gallagher, P., Rios, C., Greenberger, L. M. (2003). MAC-321,

a novel taxane with greater efficacy than paclitaxel and docetaxel in vitro and in vivo. *Mol Cancer Ther*, in press.

Smith, I. A., Kaufman, M. D., Beachamp, T. J., LaMarche, M. J., and Arimoto, H. (2000). Gram-Scale Synthesis of (+)-Discodermolide. *Org Lett* 2, 1983.

ter Haar, E., Kowalski, R. J., Hamel, E., Lin, C. M., Longley, R. E., Gunasekera, S. P., Rosenkranz, H. S., and Day, B. W. (1996). Discodermolide, a cytotoxic marine agent that stabilizes microtubules more potently than taxol. *Biochemistry* 35, 243-250.

Tozer, G. M., Kanthou, C., Parkins, C. S., and Hill, S. A. (2002). The biology of the combretastatins as tumour vascular targeting agents. *Int J Exp Pathol* 83, 21-38.

Tsutsui, K., Minami, J., Matsushita, O., Katayama, S., Taniguchi, Y., Nakamura, S., Nishioka, M., and Okabe, A. (1995). Phylogenetic analysis of phospholipase C genes from *Clostridium perfringens* types A to E and *Clostridium novyi*. *J Bacteriol* 177, 7164-7170.

Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K. W. (1999). Digital PCR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96, 9236-9241.

Woyke, T., Pettit, G. R., Winkelmann, G., and Pettit, R. K. (2001). In vitro activities and postantifungal effects of the potent dolastatin 10 derivative auristatin PHE. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45, 3580-3584.

CLAIMS

1. A method for treating tumors in a mammal comprising:  
administering to the mammal spores of a toxin-defective, anaerobic bacterium; and  
administering to the mammal an anti-tumor agent selected from the group consisting of HTI-286 and MAC-321 and combinations thereof; whereby the tumor regresses or its growth is slowed or arrested.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium novyi*.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium sordellii*.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the spores are administered intravenously.
5. The method of claim 1 wherein the spores are administered intratumorally.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein the anti-tumor agent is a HTI-286.
7. The method of claim 1 wherein the anti-tumor agent is a MAC-321.
8. The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
administering a nitric oxide synthetase (NOS) inhibitor to the mammal.
9. The method of claim 1 wherein the spores and anti-tumor agent are administered serially.
10. The method of claim 8 wherein the spores, anti-tumor agent and NOS inhibitor are administered serially.
11. The method of claim 1 wherein all or part of the toxin gene is deleted.
12. A kit for treating tumors, wherein components of the kit are in a divided or undivided container, said components comprising:  
spores of an anaerobic bacterium which is toxin-defective;

an agent which interacts with microtubules selected from the group consisting of HTI-286 and MAC-321.

13. The kit of claim 12 wherein a toxin gene of a wild type form of the anaerobic bacterium is deleted in the spores of the anaerobic bacterium.

14. The kit of claim 12 further comprising a nitric oxide synthetase (NOS) inhibitor.

15. The kit of claim 12 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium novyi*.

16. The kit of claim 12 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium sordellii*.

17. The kit of claim 12 wherein the agent is HTI-286.

18. The kit of claim 12 wherein the agent is MAC-321.

19. The kit of claim 14 wherein the NOS inhibitor is L-NNA.

20. The kit of claim 12 wherein all or part of the toxin gene is deleted.

A

CaPan-1



B

HuCC-T1



C

SW480



D

HT-29








Fig. 3










Fig. 5

HCT116



## Tumor Volume



## Kaplan-Meier



HuCC-T1



HT29





Fig. 6

HCT116





Fig 7

## HTI-286



## docetaxel




Fig. 8






Fig. 9




HTI-286



hemiasterlin


FIGURE 12




Compound	$R_1 =$	$R_2 =$	$R_3 =$
Paclitaxel	H	$\text{CH}_3\text{C}-$	
Docetaxel	H	H	
MAC-321	$\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}-$	H	

FIGURE 11


## HCT116




## HuCC-T1




## HT29



## SW480



## B16



## DLD-1





Fig. 10



## CORRECTED VERSION

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
6 May 2005 (06.05.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 2005/039491 A2

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: A61K

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US2004/034624

(22) International Filing Date: 21 October 2004 (21.10.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data: 60/512,922 22 October 2003 (22.10.2003) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE JOHN HOPKINS UNIVERSITY [US/US]; 3400 N. Charles Street, 5th Floor, Baltimore, MD 21218 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DANG, Long [US/US]; 2225 Rogene Drive, #101, Baltimore, MD 21209 (US). BETTEGOWDA, Chetan [US/US]; 2201 Rogene Dr. Apt.T2, Baltimore, MD 21209 (US). KINZLER, Kenneth, W. [US/US]; 1403 Halkirk Way, Bel Air, MD 21015 (US). VOGELSTEIN, Bert [US/US]; 3700 Breton Way, Baltimore, MD 21208 (US).

(74) Agent: KAGAN, Sarah, A.; Banner & Witcoff, LTD., 11th Floor, 1001 G Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20001-4597 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

(48) Date of publication of this corrected version:

2 June 2005


(15) Information about Correction:

see PCT Gazette No. 22/2005 of 2 June 2005, Section II.

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: CERTAIN IMPROVED COMBINATION BACTERIOLYTIC THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT OF TUMORS

KAPLAN-MEIER



intravenous injection of spores plus selected microtubule-interacting agents was able to cause regressions of several tumors in the absence of excessive toxicity.

(57) Abstract: Current approaches for treating cancer are limited, in part, by the inability of drugs to affect the poorly vascularized regions of tumors. We have found that spores of anaerobic bacteria in combination with agents which interact with microtubules can cause the destruction of both the vascular and avascular compartments of tumors. Two classes of microtubule inhibitors were found to exert markedly different effects. Some agents that inhibited microtubule synthesis such as HTI-286 and vinorelbine, caused rapid, massive hemorrhagic necrosis when used in combination with spores. In contrast, agents that stabilized microtubules, such as the taxanes docetaxel and MAC-321, resulted in slow tumor regressions that killed most neoplastic cells. Remaining cells in the poorly perfused regions of tumors could be eradicated by sporulated bacteria. Mechanistic studies showed that the microtubule destabilizers, but not the microtubule stabilizers, radically reduced blood flow to tumors, thereby enlarging the hypoxic niche in which spores could germinate. A single

WO 2005/039491 A2

**CERTAIN IMPROVED COMBINATION  
BACTERIOLYTIC THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT  
OF TUMORS**

This invention was made using U.S. government support from NIH grant CA 62924. The U.S. government therefore retains certain rights in the invention.

**TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

This invention is related to the field of oncology. In particular it relates to combined biological and chemical treatments of tumors.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The prognosis for patients who present with advanced cancers of the pancreas, colon, lung, breast, ovary, brain or prostate is dismal. This tragic situation has stimulated an avalanche of research, resulting in a revolution in understanding cancer pathogenesis, significant gains in the applications of conventional chemotherapeutic agents, and some promising new agents. Unfortunately, this revolution has not yet had a major impact on the treatment of common solid tumors. Many believe that the best hope for future therapeutic gains lies in combining novel approaches with more conventional agents. Our laboratory has been attempting to determine whether spores of *C. novyi-NT*, a strain of anaerobic bacteria, can be used in such a fashion.

The rationale for using anaerobic bacteria lies in the unique angiogenic state that exists within tumors. It is widely recognized that solid tumors require angiogenesis to grow to a clinically important size (Folkman, 2002; Kerbel, 2000). It is less often appreciated that solid tumors almost always outpace angiogenesis, often resulting in large regions that are poorly vascularized (Brown, 2002). These poorly perfused regions pose major problems for the oncologist. Drug delivery is compromised and drug

efficacy suffers not only from the lower drug concentrations reached in avascular areas but also from the fact that many chemotherapeutic agents rely both on oxygen and on actively replicating tumor cells for full potency (Brown and Giaccia, 1998).

The potential of anaerobic bacteria for treating cancer was recognized a half century ago (Jain and Forbes, 2001). Though initial clinical trials of such organisms were discouraging, this approach has more recently been revisited using genetic engineering techniques not available in the past (Heppner and Mose, 1978). For example, a strain of the facultative anaerobe *S. typhi* was created in which the major endotoxin component was mutated, resulting in a substantial diminution of toxicity (Low et al., 1999). Similarly, a cytosine deaminase gene was introduced into the anaerobe *Clostridium sporogenes*, endowing these bacteria with potent anti-tumor effects when used in combination with fluorocytosine, a prodrug activated by the introduced gene (Liu et al., 2002). Our group has eliminated the major systemic toxin gene from the strict anaerobe *C. novyi*, creating a strain called *C. novyi-NT* that had anti-neoplastic activities when combined with selected chemotherapeutic agents (COBALT, for combination bacteriolytic therapy (Dang et al., 2001).

In a previous study of various chemotherapeutic agents the anti-microtubule agent dolastatin-10, was found to be particularly effective when used in combination with *C. novyi-NT* spores, though toxicity was problematic (Dang et al., 2001). The mechanistic basis for this efficacy was not known. There is a need in the art for a systematic exploration of the relationship between various anti-microtubule agents and bacteriolytic therapy.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to a first embodiment of the invention a method is provided for treating tumors in a mammal. Spores of a toxin-defective anaerobic bacterium are administered to a mammal. A toxin gene of a wild type form of the anaerobic bacterium is mutated or deleted, rendering the spores of the anaerobic bacterium less toxic to the mammal. An anti-tumor agent is also administered to the mammal. The anti-tumor agent is selected from the group consisting of HTI-286, MAC-321 and combinations thereof. As a result of the administrations, the tumor regresses or its growth is slowed or arrested.

According to a second embodiment of the invention a kit is provided for treating tumors. The components of the kit are in a divided or undivided container. The components comprises spores of an anaerobic bacterium which is toxin-defective, and an agent which interacts with microtubules selected from the group consisting of HTI-286 and MAC-321.

These and other embodiments of the invention which will be apparent to those of skill in the art upon reading the specification provide the art with an exciting modality for treating mammals including patients with tumors. These modalities cause striking tumor regressions without excessive toxicity.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**Figures 1A and 1B** Anatomic effects of drugs that destabilize microtubules on HCT116 tumors

Photographs of mice bearing HCT116 xenografts after treatment on day 0 with the microtubule destabilizers HTI-286 at 1.7 mg/kg (Fig. 1A) or vinorelbine at 20 mg/kg (Fig. 1B) with or without *C. novyi-NT*.

**Figures 2A and 2B** Anatomic effects of drugs that stabilize microtubules on HCT116 tumors

Photographs of mice bearing HCT116 xenografts after treatment on day 0 with the microtubule stabilizing agents docetaxel at 50 mg/kg (Fig. 2A) or MAC-321 (Fig. 2B) at 60 mg/kg with or without *C. novyi*-NT.

**Figures 3A through 3H** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and HTI-286 in mice bearing various xenografts

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with HTI-286 +/- *C. novyi*-NT spores. The dose of HTI-286 (1.7 to 2 mg/kg) used to treat each xenograft type was the smallest dose required to see significant effects when administered alone. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 3A, 3C, 3E, and 3G) and progression free survival (Kaplan-Meier plots, Figs. 3B, 3D, 3F, and 3H) are shown. Light blue: untreated control; Purple: *C. novyi*-NT spores alone; Green: drug alone; Red: drug plus *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figure 4** Anatomic effects of drugs that destabilize microtubules on various xenografts

Photographs of mice bearing the indicated xenografts were treated with *C. novyi*-NT with HTI-286 and photographed 3 days later.

**Figures 5A through 5D** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and vinorelbine in mice bearing HCT116 or HT29 xenografts.

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with vinorelbine (20 mg/kg) +/- *C. novyi*-NT spores. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 5A and 5C) and progression-free survival (Figs. 5B and 5D) are shown. Color code same as in Figs. 3A through 3H. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figures 6A through 6F** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and docetaxel in mice bearing various xenografts.

Animals (n > 5 for each arm) were treated with docetaxel +/- *C. novyi*-NT. The dose of docetaxel (50 to 75 mg/kg) was optimized for each xenograft type; for example, HT29 was less sensitive to docetaxel than the other tumor types. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 6A, 6C and 6E) and progression free survival (Kaplan-Meier plots, Figs. 6B, 6D and 6F) are shown. Color code same as in Figs. 3A through 3H. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figures 7A through 7F** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT and MAC-321 in mice bearing various xenografts.

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with MAC-321 +/- *C. novyi*-NT. The dose of MAC-321 (45 to 70 mg/kg) was optimized for each xenograft type; for example, HuCC-T1 was more sensitive to MAC-321 than were the other tumor types. Tumor growth curves (Figs. 7A, 7C and 7E) and progression free survival (Kaplan-Meier plots, Figs. 7B, 7D and 7F) are shown. Color code same as in Figs. 3A through 3H. The p-values are based on comparisons between drug alone and drug + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figure 8** Effect of docetaxel and HTI-286 on blood flow.

Mice bearing subcutaneous xenografts of HCT116 cells, 400 - 500 mm<sup>3</sup> in size, were intravenously injected with HTI-286 (1.7 mg/kg) or docetaxel (50 mg/kg). Three or 24 hours after treatment, mice were intravenously injected with Hoechst 33342 (40 mg/kg) and euthanized two minutes later. Tumors were cryosectioned and viewed by fluorescence microscopy after counter-staining with acridine orange (AO). Endothelial cells are stained blue when they have taken up the Hoechst 33342 dye. Adjacent sections were stained with MECA-32 monoclonal antibody, a vascular endothelium-

specific marker. Arrows point towards normal blood vessels unaffected by HTI-286 treatment in overlying skin.

**Figures 9A through 9F** Effect of docetaxel and HTI-286 on Glut-1 expression.

Mice bearing subcutaneous xenografts of HCT116 cells, 400 - 500 mm<sup>3</sup> in size, were intravenously injected with HTI-286 (1.7 mg/kg; Figs. 9B, 9E) or docetaxel (50 mg/kg; Figs. 9C, 9F). Mice were euthanized six hours after treatment. Tumors were sectioned and H & E stained. Adjacent sections were stained for Glut-1 expression, a marker for hypoxia. Cells positive for Glut-1 appear brown. Arrows indicate examples of hemorrhage.

**Figure 10** Quantification of the effects of *C. novyi*-NT plus low doses of HTI-286 and L-NNA on various xenografts.

Animals (n > 6 for each arm) were treated with HTI-286 (1 mg/kg) + L-NNA (10 mg/kg) +/- *C. novyi*-NT. HTI-286 at this dose had no significant effects on tumor growth when administered without L-NNA, and vice versa. The p-values are based on comparisons between L-NNA + HTI-286 and L-NNA + HTI-286 + *C. novyi*-NT spores. The p-value is indicated only when the result was statistically significant.

**Figure 11** Chemical structures of paclitaxel, docetaxel, and MAC-321.

**Figure 12** Chemical structures of HTI-286 and hemiasterlin.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

The tumor microenvironment is heterogeneous. Oxygen concentrations within single tumors vary widely and can dramatically alter the responses to therapies. We have targeted the poorly vascular regions of tumors with an anaerobic bacterium and the vascular regions of tumors with either microtubule stabilizing or destabilizing agents. We found that we could achieve major regressions and cures when these drugs were combined with

spores of anaerobic bacteria, but that the mechanisms underlying the efficacy varied with the class of drug used. Specific agents that target different tumor microenvironments can be combined to produce enhanced therapeutic results.

The bacteria useful in the practice of the present invention are anaerobic spore-formers. Suitable genera include but are not limited to Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli, and Clostridia. A number of species of these bacteria have been tested for their ability to grow in tumors in a robust and dispersed manner. *Clostridium novyi* and *Clostridium sordelii* were found to be the best of the strains we tested for these properties. Other strains and species having suitable characteristics can be used as well.

Decreasing the natural production of toxins is desirable in using bacteria therapeutically. While toxin-defective strains need not be totally non-toxigenic, it is desirable that at least one of the toxin genes be mutated, deleted, or otherwise inactivated to render the bacteria less harmful to the host. Preferably the toxicity is reduced by a factor of at least 2, 5, 10, 50, 100, or 1000. If a toxin gene is episomal or on a phage, then curing of the episome or phage can be used to delete the toxin gene. Techniques are well known in the art for mutagenesis, curing, and screening of mutants.

Isolated and bacteriologically pure vegetative bacteria or spores, according to the invention are those which are not contaminated with other bacteria or spores. Microbiological techniques for obtaining such pure cultures are well known in the art. Typically single colonies are picked and spread upon an agar nutrient medium, separating colonies so that new colonies arise that are the progeny of single cells. This process is typically repeated to ensure pure cultures. Alternatively, liquid cultures can be serially diluted and plated for single colony formation. Serial repetition is desirable to ensure colony formation from single cells. See, e.g., J. H. Miller, *Experiments in Molecular Genetics*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, NY, 1972.

Spores can be administered to a tumor-bearing mammal by any means which will afford access to the tumor. Spores can be injected intravenously, intradermally, subcutaneously, intramuscularly, intraperitoneally, intratumorally, intrathecally, surgically, etc. Preferred techniques are intravenous and intratumoral injections. Tumor bearing mammals can be humans, pets, such as dogs and cats, agricultural animals such as cows, sheep, horses, goats and pigs, and laboratory animals, such as rats, hamsters, monkeys, mice, and rabbits. The tumors to be treated are preferably large enough to have outgrown their blood supply and contain necrotic regions. This factor should not be limiting for most human tumor situations, as the great majority of clinically apparent human tumors have large necrotic regions within them.

Combination treatment involves administering anaerobic spores as well as a second anti-tumor agent. Together these agents produce a greater or more long-lasting decrease in the growth of the tumor. Second anti-tumor agents which can be used include any which are known in the art. Such anti-tumor agents include but are not limited to agents which stabilize microtubules, agents which collapse tumor vasculature, typically by inhibiting synthesis of microtubules, radiation, and anti-tumor antigen antibodies. These anti-tumor agents are administered according to the conventional means used in the art of medical and radiation oncology. The agents can be administered in any order or simultaneously. It may be desirable, however, to administer the spores prior to administering the second anti-tumor agent. If agents are to be administered serially, they are preferably administered within a span of a month, more preferably within a span of a fortnight, and even more preferably within a span of a week. Optimization of the time span is well within the skill of the art. Moreover, multiple anti-tumor agents can be administered in conjunction with the spores. Thus it may be desirable in order to achieve even greater reduction in tumor growth that a plurality of anti-tumor agents be used. Anti-tumor agents from different categories or

mechanisms may achieve superior results. Thus a preferred combination includes spores and a microtubule stabilizing agent.

Anti-tumor agents which stabilize microtubules include, but are not limited to the taxanes, such as 10-deacetyltaxol; 7-epi-10-deacetyltaxol; 7-xylosyl-10-deacetyltaxol; 7-epi-taxol; cephalomannine; baccatin III; baccatin V; 10-deacetyl baccatin III; 7-epi-10-deacetyl baccatin III; 2-debenzoyl-2-(p-trifluoromethylbenzoyl)taxol; and 20-acetoxy-4-deacetyl-5-epi-20,0-secotaxol. Other microtubule stabilizers which can be used include arsenic trioxide, discodermolide, epothilone B, MAC-321 and (+)-14-normethyldiscodermolide.

Anti-tumor agents which function to collapse tumor vessels include but are not limited to vinblastine, vincristine, colchicine, combrestatin A4P, dolastatin-10, auristatin PE, auristatin PHE, D-24851, HTI-286, noscapine, vinorelbine, and 5,6 dimethylxanthenone-4-acetic acid. Others as are known or discovered with the same function can be used. Such agents are believed to function by inhibiting the synthesis of microtubules.

Nitric oxide synthetase inhibitors, especially inhibitors of the eNOS isoform of the enzyme, can be used to potentiate the effects of the microtubule-interacting drugs. Suitable inhibitors include but are not limited to L-NNA, NG-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA), and L-NAME.

Kits comprising the useful components for practicing the anti-tumor methods of the present invention can be packaged in a divided or undivided container, such as a carton, bottle, ampule, tube, etc. The spores and anti-tumor agents can be packaged in dried, lyophilized, or liquid form. Additional components provided can include vehicles for reconstitution of dried components. Preferably all such vehicles are sterile and apyrogenic so that they are suitable for injection into a mammal without causing adverse reactions. The anti-tumor agents other than the spores are also

preferably sterile. The spores are preferably microbiologically pure, i.e., containing no other bacteria other than the desired spore-forming anaerobe. Instructions for practicing the method of the invention may be included in the kit, in paper or electronic medium. A reference to a website that teaches the method may also be provided.

The above disclosure generally describes the present invention. A more complete understanding can be obtained by reference to the following specific examples which are provided herein for purposes of illustration only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

#### EXAMPLE 1-- Materials & Methods

##### Drugs

Dolastatin 10, auristatin 15-PE, auristatin PYE, and pancratistatin 7-O-phosphate were synthesized by Dr. G. R. Pettit (Cancer Research Institute, Arizona State University, AZ) (Pettit, 1997). Discodermolide, (+)-14-normethyldiscodermolide synthesized by Dr. A. Smith (University of Pennsylvania, PA) (Smith et al., 2000). Epothilone B was synthesized by S. Danishevsky, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY (Altmann, 2003). HTI-286 [ $N,\beta,\beta$ -trimethyl-L-phenylalanyl- $N^1$ -[(1S, 2E)-3-carboxy-1-isopropylbut-2-enyl]- $N^1$ , 3-dimethyl-L-valinamide] was synthesized at Wyeth Research, Pearl River, New York (Loganzo et al., 2003). MAC-321 [ $5\beta$ , 20-epoxy-1, 2 $\alpha$ -, 4-, 7 $\beta$ -, 10 $\beta$ -, 13 $\alpha$ -hexahydroxytax-11-en-9-one 4 acetate 2 benzoate 7 propionate 13-ester with (2R, 3S)-N-tertbutoxycarbonyl-3-(2-furyl) isoserine](Sampath et al., 2003) was synthesized by Taxolog, Inc (Fairfield, NJ). Combretastatin A4P and D-24851 were synthesized by Saeed Khan, Sidney-Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD. Synthetic methods are cited in the references listed in Table 1. Vincristine, vinblastine, vinorelbine, paclitaxel, and docetaxel were purchased from the Johns Hopkins Hospital Pharmacy. Colchicine, noscapine, arsenic trioxide, and L-NNA were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

### Cell lines and animals

Female athymic nude and C57BL/6 mice 6 to 8 weeks of age were purchased from Harlan. HCT116, DLD1, SW480, HT29, LS174T, and CaPan-1 cells were obtained from ATCC. HuCC-T1 cells were obtained from A. Maitra, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD (Miyagiwa et al., 1989). HCT116 cells in which the p53 gene had been disrupted through homologous recombination have been previously described (Bunz et al., 1998). All cell lines were grown as monolayers in McCoy 5A medium (Gibco) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

### Tumorigenicity assays

All animal protocols were designed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication 86-23) and were approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Six to eight week old mice were implanted subcutaneously on one flank with 3 to 6 million cancer cells. Establishment of tumors of 150 to 500 mm<sup>3</sup> took 8 to 21 days, depending on the cell type. *C. novyi*-NT spores (300 x 10<sup>6</sup>) and anti-microtubule agents were generally administered by a single intravenous injection through the tail vein in a total volume of 300 to 500 ul phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Quantitative assessment of tumor growth was performed by measuring subcutaneous tumor grafts (major and minor axis) using electronic calipers. Tumor volume was calculated using the equation: length x width<sup>2</sup> x 0.5. Progression free survival was defined as the length of time following treatment before any increase in tumor volume was observed. Mice were considered "cured" if no tumor was evident three months after treatment. Animals were weighed at least twice a week and carefully observed for signs of toxicity every day for the first week following treatment and then twice a week thereafter. At least five mice were used for each treatment arm described in the Experimental Results.

#### Assessment of blood perfusion

Mice bearing HCT116 xenografts of 300 to 500 mm<sup>3</sup> were injected intravenously with anti-microtubule agents. At various times thereafter, they were intravenously injected with Hoechst 33342 (40 mg/kg), then euthanized two minutes later by CO<sub>2</sub> narcosis. Tumors were fixed in Tissue-Tek OTC compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA) and stored at -80°C. Frozen sections 10 microns in thickness were prepared with a Leica Microsystems cryostat and counterstained with acridine orange, 7.5 ug/ml, in PBS for 5 minutes. Adjacent sections were sequentially incubated with the MECA-32 monoclonal antibody (Pharmingen), a biotinylated anti-rat antibody (Jackson), and rhodamine-streptavidin (Vector). At least three sections of each of three tumors, representing middle and distal parts of the tumor, were examined under fluorescence microscopy for each agent tested, and representative results are illustrated in the figures.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin sections were deparaffinized, incubated with proteinase K (Invitrogen), heated at 95°C for 20 min in citrate buffer (pH 6), and treated with peroxidase blocking reagent (Dako). Sections were incubated with a monoclonal antibody against Glucose Transporter-1 (Glut-1, Dako) followed by a biotin-conjugated secondary antibody (Pierce). A third layer consisting of HRP-conjugated anti-biotin (DAKO) was followed by diaminobenzidine (Sigma) staining. Sections were counterstained with eosin.

#### Quantification of *C. novyi*-NT in tumors

Mice bearing HCT116 xenografts were treated with *C. novyi*-NT spores with or without HTI-286 (1.7 mg/kg) as described above. Following euthanasia 24-48 hr later, tumors were harvested, weighed, minced, and Dounce-homogenized. Genomic DNA was isolated using the Qiagen Genomic DNA Buffer Set and Genomic-tip 100/G. 25 ng of DNA was

used in a PCR using the conditions described in (Vogelstein and Kinzler, 1999). The following primers specific for the *C. novyi*-NT phospholipase C gene were used: 5'- AAGATGGTACAGGA ACTCATTCC and 5'- GCTTGTCCGAAATACCATGTTGC. No detectable primer dimers formed and no nonspecific amplification of mouse or xenograft genomic DNA occurred with these primers and PCR conditions. Real-time PCR was performed using an iCycler, and threshold cycle numbers were calculated using the iCycler Optical system interface software (Bio-Rad Lab, Hercules, CA). Averages of the threshold cycle number (Ct) of triplicate measurements per tumor were obtained, and three different tumors were studied for each treatment group. The results were expressed as the difference between the Ct of the treated tumor and the Ct of untreated controls, normalized to tumor weight.

#### Statistical analysis

Progression-free survival across treatment groups was compared using Kaplan-Meier curves. Significance of differences was assessed using the log-rank test. Longitudinal data about tumor sizes was analyzed in two stages. First, for each animal *i*, we fit a regression equation of the form  $\log(1+y_{it}) = a + b_i t$ , where *t* is time and *y<sub>it</sub>* is the tumor volume of animal *i* at time *t*, relative to the tumor volume of the same animal at time 0. The *p*-values were determined using a student *t*-test on the exponential regression slope for each individual mouse. This approach was motivated by the need to account for within-animal correlations in tumor-volume measurements, as described in Section 6.3 of (Diggle PJ, 1994). The fit of the animal specific regressions was generally good, with *R*-squared values ranging from 0.99 to 0.80.

#### EXAMPLE 2--Comparison of microtubule-interacting chemotherapeutic agents

The timing, route, and dose of agents are critical for the success of any experimental therapy. When two agents are combined, the number of

variables that must be tested increases exponentially. To simplify interpretation of the comparisons between various anti-microtubule agents, pilot studies with representative drugs were performed. Based on the optima found through these pilots, the following protocol was implemented: (i) several doses of each chemotherapeutic agent were tested, up to a maximum of the LD50; (ii) the dose of *C. novyi*-NT was 3 x 108 spores; and (iii) the chemotherapeutic agents and bacterial spores were mixed in a total volume of 300 to 500  $\mu$ l and injected intravenously. This joint administration was selected for convenience, as it was found that administration of representative chemotherapeutic agents within several hours before or after the administration of bacterial spores was as efficacious as administering the spores and drugs together.

Eighteen agents known to interact with microtubules were each tested in this manner in nude mice harboring xenografts of HCT116 human colorectal cancer cells. At least five mice harboring tumors of 150 to 500 mm<sup>3</sup> in size were used for each experimental arm. Table 1 lists the agents tested, the doses, and the results obtained. Two classes of responses were noted. One type of response was characterized by a rapid hemorrhagic necrosis, observed only with agents that inhibited microtubule synthesis (Figure 1A, B). The other type of response was characterized by a slow regression, observed with agents that stabilized microtubules (Figure 2A, B). The two most promising agents from each class, based on efficacy and toxicity, were chosen for further study: these were the microtubule destabilizers HTI-286 and vinorelbine and the microtubule stabilizers docetaxel and MAC-321.

**Table 1. Microtubule-interacting agents**

Agent	Dose* (route)	Effect alone**	Effect with <i>C. novyi</i> :NT**	Reference
<b>Microtubule stabilizers</b>				
arsenic trioxide	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	Griffin, 2000
discodermolide	20 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	++++	ter Haar, 1996
	15 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	++++	
docetaxel	75 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	Rowinsky, 1997
	50 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	25 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	+++	
epothilone B	12.5 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	Bollag, 1995
(+)-14-normethyldiscodermolide	25 mg/kg QDx4 (ip)	NT	+	Martello, 2001
paclitaxel	15 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	+	Rowinsky, 1997
	30 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	
MAC-321	15 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	0	Sampath, 2003
	70 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	60 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	50 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	45 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+++	++++	
	10 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	
<b>Microtubule destabilizers</b>				
auristatin PE	2 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	Pettit, 1995
auristatin PHE	0.2 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	Woyke, 2001
colchicine	20 mg/kg x1 (ip)	++	++	Baguley, 1991
	5 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	+	
combretastatin A4P	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	+	Tozer, 2002
	200 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	+	
D-24851	50 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	
	100 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	
dolastatin 10	25 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	Bachar, 2001
	0.45 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	++	
HTI-286	0.30 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+++	Chaplin, 1996
	2.0 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	+++	
	1.9 mg/kg x1 (iv)	++	+++	
	1.7 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	
	1.5 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	+	
	1.0 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	

Agent	Dose* (route)	Effect alone**		Reference
		Effect with <i>C. novyi-NT</i> **	Effect alone**	
noscapine	0.5 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	Landen, 2002
	70 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	
	50 mg/kg x1 (iv)	0	+	
	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	
vincristine	5 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	Baguley, 1991
	10 mg/kg x1 (ip)	+	0	
vinblastine	5 mg/kg x1 (ip)	0	+	Baguley, 1991
	24 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	0	
vinorelbine	20 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	+++	Holwell, 2001
	16 mg/kg x1 (iv)	+	++	

\* only doses below the LD<sub>50</sub> are shown

\*\*NT: not tested; 0: no response; +: inhibition of tumor growth but no tumor shrinkage; ++: 10% to 50% shrinkage in tumor volume relative to pre-treatment size; +++: >50% shrinkage in tumor volume relative to pre-treatment size; +++++: >20% cures

COBALT/HTI-286. A modest amount of hemorrhagic necrosis was observed following administration of HTI-286 to mice bearing HCT116 xenografts, but this necrosis was dramatically enhanced by co-administration of *C. novyi*-NT spores (Figure 1A). This response translated into prolonged growth inhibition (Figure 3A) and substantial increases in time to progression (Figure 3B).

We next evaluated the responses to COBALT/HTI-286 in other murine tumor models. There was a striking similarity in the initial responses to COBALT/HTI-286 in all models tested, including the DLD1, SW480, LS174T, HT29, and HCT116-p53-/- colorectal cancers, the HuCC-T1 cholangiocarcinoma, the CaPan-1 pancreatic cancer and the B16 melanoma. All models were human tumor cell lines xenografted into nude mice with the exception of B16, which was a murine cell line transplanted into syngeneic mice. All underwent hemorrhagic necrosis within 2 or 3 days of treatment (examples in Figure 4A to D), resulting in tumor shrinkage (examples in Figure 3C, E, and G). There was much more variability, however, in the responses over the long term. In some cases, such as HCT116 and HuCC-T1, approximately 40% of mice were cured by a single intravenous injection of *C. novyi*-NT plus HTI-286 (Figure 3B and D, respectively). In other cases, such as HT29 and CaPan-1, cures were observed in <10% of mice (Figure 3F and H). The other tumor models responded at a level intermediate to that of HCT116 and HT29. It is important to note that in all cases the addition of *C. novyi*-NT resulted in an improvement over the responses obtained with HTI-286 alone. It was also notable that the p53 status of tumors, which often appears to modify therapeutic effects (Pirolo et al., 2000), had little influence on the efficacy of COBALT/HTI-286. The most direct demonstration of this was provided by treatment of xenografts derived from HCT116 cells in which the p53 gene was purposefully disrupted by gene targeting (Bunz et al., 1998); the results were very similar to those shown in Figure 3A and B for parental HCT116 cells, with 38% of the mice cured of their p53-/- tumors.

COBALT/vinorelbine. Vinorelbine is a clinically approved drug that, like HTI-286, inhibits microtubule synthesis and thereby disrupts microtubules. Two tumor xenograft models, one (HCT116) relatively sensitive to COBALT/HTI-286 and one (HT29) relatively resistant to COBALT/HTI-286 were chosen to evaluate COBALT/vinorelbine. COBALT/vinorelbine also induced hemorrhagic necrosis, though this necrosis was less pronounced than that obtained with COBALT/HTI-286 (compare Figure 1B with Figure 1A). As with COBALT/ HTI-286, HCT116 responded much better to COBALT/vinorelbine than did HT29 (Figure 5).

COBALT/docetaxel and COBALT/MAC-321. As noted above, a very different type of response was observed with *C. novyi*-NT when used in combination with microtubule stabilizing drugs, exemplified by docetaxel and MAC-321. In particular, there was a much slower response and no hemorrhagic necrosis following COBALT with these agents (Figure 2). Additionally, the tumors generally underwent a more pronounced regression with docetaxel or MAC-321 alone than with HTI-286 or vinorelbine alone. Nevertheless, the addition of *C. novyi*-NT spores substantially improved the durations of the remissions achieved with these agents. All mice bearing HCT116 xenografts treated with COBALT/docetaxel were cured, unlike the case with docetaxel alone (Figure 6A, B). The responses of mice bearing HuCC-T1 were also encouraging (Figure 6 C, D). Like the case with COBALT/HTI-286, HT29 did not respond nearly as well as the other two tumor types tested (Figure 6E, F). HCT116 cells with genetically disrupted p53 genes also responded to COBALT/docetaxel, with complete regressions in all mice and cures in 44%, whereas docetaxel alone cured only 13% of the mice.

The responses of HCT116 tumors to COBALT/MAC-321 were very similar to those of COBALT/docetaxel, as would have been predicted from their structural similarity. The three xenograft types tested underwent major regressions, with cures achieved in a substantial fraction of two of

them (Figure 7). Though the initial tumor regressions were no more impressive with MAC-321 plus *C. novyi*-NT than with MAC-321 alone, the duration of the responses was markedly different when *C. novyi*-NT was added. Four of seven mice harboring HuCC-T1 xenografts were cured following *C. novyi*-NT plus MAC-321 treatment, whereas only one of eight mice treated with MAC-321 alone was cured ( $p < 0.05$ ; Figure 7D). Similarly, all mice bearing HCT116 xenografts were cured following COBALT/MAC-321 while no mice treated with the MAC-321 alone were cured ( $p < 0.0001$  Figure 7B).

#### EXAMPLE 3--Mechanisms underlying the two classes of responses

We sought to determine the basis for the differences in responses observed with the two classes of microtubule-interacting drugs, represented by HTI-286 and docetaxel, when used in conjunction with *C. novyi*-NT. It has previously been shown that some microtubule-interacting drugs, particularly those that inhibit microtubule synthesis such as DMXAA and combretastatin A4P, inhibit tumor blood flow (Baguley, 2003) (Tozer et al., 2002). To test whether HTI-286 and docetaxel affected blood flow, we treated HCT116 tumor-bearing mice with these drugs, then intravenously injected the cell-permeable DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33342 two minutes prior to sacrifice. Hoechst predominantly labels the nuclei of endothelial cells in such experiments, as the dye does not have time to diffuse through the tissue during the interval between injection and sacrifice (Bernsen et al., 1999). Frozen sections of the tumors revealed that blood flow to the tumors was almost completely inhibited by HTI-286, a microtubule destabilizer, by 3 hr and that this inhibition remained for at least 24 hr (Figure 8). In contrast, docetaxel, a microtubule stabilizer, had no observable effects on blood flow (Figure 8). The effect of HTI-286 on blood flow was tumor-specific in that Hoechst staining of the blood vessels in the skin overlying the tumors was not affected (e.g., arrows in 3 and 24 hr time points in Figure 8). Staining adjacent sections with the endothelial specific antibody MECA32 revealed that endothelial cells were still present

after treatment with HTI-286 but they were not being perfused with the Hoechst dye dissolved in the blood. Many of them looked abnormal, with few of the long continuous vessels that were evident in controls or in animals treated with docetaxel.

These results suggested that treatment with microtubule destabilizers increased the extent of hypoxia within tumors by inhibiting blood flow, thereby creating a larger niche for the anaerobic bacteria to populate. Two independent tests of this hypothesis were performed. First, we directly assessed hypoxia within tumors by staining with an antibody to Glucose Transporter-1 (Glut-1). Glut-1 has been extensively studied and shown to be specifically expressed only in hypoxic regions (Airley et al., 2001). Tumors treated with HTI-286 expressed large amounts of Glut-1 within 6 hr of treatment (Fig. 9E). Small lakes of erythrocytes, indicating static blood flow, were observed in adjacent sections, and no evidence of tumor cell death was observed at these early time points after HTI-286 treatment (Fig. 9B). No increase of Glut-1 staining was found in tumors treated with docetaxel (Fig. 9F) compared to untreated tumors (Fig. 9D).

Second, we directly tested the size of bacterial populations within tumors by measuring the number of bacteria at various times following intravenous injection of *C. novyi*-NT spores into mice bearing HCT116 xenografts. Because of the exquisite sensitivity of these bacteria to oxygen, it was difficult to reliably assess the number of bacteria in tumors through conventional microbiologic evaluation, and we chose to use quantitative PCR methods for this purpose. Using primers specific for the phospholipase C gene of *C. novyi*-NT (Tsutsui et al., 1995), we found that these bacteria accumulated to a level of  $4.8 \times 10^8$  bacteria per gram of tumor (range 2.2 to  $8.1 \times 10^8$ ) after 24 hours when administered without chemotherapeutic drugs. When co-injected with HTI-286, the number of bacteria increased by 6.8-fold  $\pm 0.08$  (standard deviation). In contrast,

when co-administered with docetaxel, the number of bacteria increased by only 1.6-fold +/-0.3 (standard deviation).

#### EXAMPLE 4--Lowering the dose of microtubule destabilizers

Clinical trials of microtubule destabilizers have often been disappointing in that the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was reached before any anti-vascular effects were observed. A recent study has shown that the dose of the microtubule destabilizers combretastatin A4P could be reduced when nitric oxide synthesis (NOS) inhibitors were concurrently administered (Parkins et al., 2000). This strategy was based on the observation that the vascular relaxing compound NO is released from some tumor cells in the presence of vascular injury and could thereby combating the effects of the combretastatin A4P on tumor blood flow (Parkins et al., 2000). In view of the anti-vascular action of HTI-286 described above, we tested whether this concept could be applied to treatment with HTI-286. We administered a well-tolerated dose of the NOS inhibitor L-NNA together with varying doses of HTI-286 to mice bearing HCT116 xenografts. We found that L-NNA added little to the effects observed with either *C. novyi*-NT alone or high doses of HTI-286 plus *C. novyi*-NT, when vascular shut-down was already complete. However, L-NNA significantly enhanced the responses to low doses of HTI-286 without adding toxicity. The response was enhanced further when L-NNA and low doses of HTI-286 were used in combination with *C. novyi*-NT (Figure 10A). Marked hemorrhagic necrosis was observed, similar to that shown in Figure 1, while little hemorrhagic necrosis was observed when any one of the three components (L-NNA, HTI-286 at 1 mg/kg, or *C. novyi*-NT spores) were left out. *C. novyi*-NT was able to substantially improve the therapeutic effects of treatment with L-NNA plus low doses of HTI-286 in HuCC-T1, SW480, and B16 tumors, but had only small effects in HT29 and DLD-1 cells (examples in Figure 10B – F).

#### EXAMPLE 5--Toxicity of COBALT

In our previous study of *C. novyi*-NT plus dolastatin-10 and mitomycin C, toxicity was of particular concern, as up to 30% of the mice with tumors died from the treatment. This toxicity was observed only when the combination of *C. novyi*-NT spores and the drugs was administered and not with either the bacteria or the drugs alone. The new combinations described in the current study proved to be significantly less toxic. A total of >600 nude mice bearing relatively large xenografts were treated with *C. novyi*-NT in combination with HTI-286, vinorelbine, docetaxel, or MAC-321. Though major tumor regressions were common, few mice died as a result of therapy (Table 2). No deaths occurred after treatment with the microtubule stabilizers plus *C. novyi*-NT, while 4% to 8% of mice treated with microtubule destabilizers plus *C. novyi*-NT died. The only other toxicity observed was transient weight loss (Table 3). Mice treated with the drugs alone lost ~5 to 10% of their body weight within 7 days, while weight loss after treatment with *C. novyi*-NT plus these drugs varied from 8% to 18%. All weights recovered to pre-treatment levels within two weeks.

**Table 2. Mortality following COBALT**

Treatment	Drug dose (mg/kg)	# mice	# dead (%) <sup>*</sup>
No treatment control	---	110	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> spores	---	118	0
docetaxel	25.0	5	0
	50.0	43	0
	75.0	34	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + docetaxel	25.0	5	0
	50.0	45	0
	75.0	33	0
MAC-321	45.0	28	0
	50.0	9	0
	60.0	23	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + MAC-321	70.0	9	0
	45.0	32	0
	50.0	9	0
	60.0	23	0
vinorelbine	70.0	9	0
	16.0	16	0
	20.0	12	0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + vinorelbine	24.0	12	0
	16.0	26	0
	20.0	15	1 (6.7%)
	24.0	12	0
HTI-286	1.7	104	3 (2.9%)
	1.9	55	3 (5.4%)
	2.0	47	5 (10.6%)
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + HTI-286	1.7	127	10 (7.9%)
	1.9	98	4 (4.1%)
	2.0	188	8 (4.3%)

<sup>\*</sup>Treatment-related deaths (i.e., those that occurred within one week of the initiation of therapy) were determined from experiments with HCT116, HT29, DLD1, SW480, Capan-1 and HuCC-T1 xenografts.

**Table 3. Weight loss after COBALT**

Treatment	Drug dose (mg/kg)	# mice	Weight Loss (%) <sup>*</sup>
No treatment control	---	39	0.0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> spores	---	8	5.5
docetaxel	50.0	8	11.0
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + docetaxel	50.0	9	12.0
MAC-321	60.0	8	5.5
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + MAC-321	60.0	7	10.2
vinorelbine	24.0	6	8.3
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + vinorelbine	24.0	6	8.3
HTI-286	1.7	6	11.4
<i>C. novyi-NT</i> + HTI-286	1.7	6	18.3

<sup>\*</sup>Weight loss was determined from experiments with HCT116 xeno grafts. The maximum average weight loss relative to the weight one day prior to treatment is indicated.

The results described above show that *C. novyi*-NT, when combined with specific microtubule-interacting drugs, can have dramatic effects on experimental tumors. Hemorrhagic necrosis following COBALT/microtubule destabilizers was observed in all nine tumor models tested. *C. novyi*-NT was also found to cooperate with microtubule stabilizing agents, converting the transient tumor regressions obtained with the drugs alone to cures in the most sensitive models. It was notable that these responses were observed after only a single dose of *C. novyi*-NT spores plus drugs.

Several intriguing questions are raised by these data. The first relates to the markedly different effects of microtubule stabilizers and microtubule destabilizers. Microtubule stabilizers like docetaxel and MAC-321 had substantial anti-tumor effects on their own, but rarely produced cures when administered only once. From the results presented in this paper, we surmise that these drugs leave foci of tumor cells in poorly perfused regions of the tumors, either because adequate concentrations of drugs are not reached in these regions or because the tumor cells there are not replicating and are therefore not as susceptible to the cytoidal activities of these agents. Because *C. novyi*-NT specifically targets the hypoxic areas least likely to be killed by these agents, it is not surprising that the spores can cooperate with them. Though delivery of spores to the poorly perfused areas of tumors may be relatively low, it presumably only requires one or a few spores to reach such areas. With a doubling time of 45 minutes, the *C. novyi*-NT can rapidly proliferate, and as shown previously (Dang et al., 2001), the germinated bacteria have the capacity to kill surrounding tumor cells, stopping only when they reach the well-oxygenated regions.

In contrast, microtubule destabilizers appear to disrupt blood flow within the tumors, presumably because the microtubule networks in vascular endothelial cells or perivascular smooth muscle cells are specifically sensitive to such disruption (Griggs et al., 2001). Though the basis for this

differential sensitivity is not understood, it is clear that many microtubule destabilizing agents have similar effects on tumor vasculature, rapidly stopping blood flow to the tumor (Griggs et al., 2001). HTI-286 is a novel anti-microtubule destabilizer that binds  $\alpha$ -tubulin at the vinca-peptide binding site, unlike classic agents such as colchicine or combretastatin A4 (Hamel and Covell, 2002). Because HTI-286 stops blood flow without destroying endothelial cells, much like these previously studied agents, it is clear that the disruption of microtubules per se, rather than the way in which the drug binds to microtubules, is critical for this activity. Based on these observations, we conclude that the efficacy of COBALT/HTI-286 is in part due to the ability of the drugs to decrease blood flow and thereby create larger regions of hypoxia for the spores to germinate and multiply. This conclusion is consistent with the demonstration that there were far greater numbers of bacteria in tumors treated with COBALT/HTI-286 than with *C. novyi*-NT alone.

Several other practical points emerged from our study. Toxicity is always a serious issue when dealing with biologic agents that are capable of self-replicating. In our initial studies with *C. novyi*-NT, both dolastatin-10 and mitomycin C were required to achieve pronounced effects, and this triple combination was toxic, killing up to a third of the animals (Dang et al., 2001). The new combinations, generally employing only a single agent in combination with *C. novyi*-NT, had acceptable toxicities (Tables 2 and 3). Importantly, no deaths were observed in animals treated with *C. novyi*-NT alone, COBALT/docetaxel or COBALT/MAC-321 (Table 2), despite the substantial cure rates achieved when using either combination (Figures 6, 7). The basis for the small number of deaths that occurred after COBALT/HTI-286 or COBALT/vinorelbine is unclear. Deaths were a bit more common in very large tumors ( $>650$  mm<sup>3</sup>) than in tumors of small or intermediate size. This suggests that tumor lysis syndrome, sepsis, or release of toxic products from the tumors plays a role in the toxicity of COBALT/HTI-286, each of which can be expected to be greater in larger

tumors. Detailed necropsy studies of animals that died after COBALT/HTI-286 have not revealed any specific abnormalities that would point to a specific cause of death.

Another issue that has been raised with regard to anaerobic bacteriolytic therapies concerns the size of tumors that can be successfully treated. Smaller tumors are expected to have a smaller fraction of necrosis and hypoxia, thereby limiting the potential of anaerobic bacteria to proliferate. The results obtained in the current study suggest that this may not be as much of a problem as anticipated. Though reduced efficacy was observed with HCT116 tumors of  $<100$  mm<sup>3</sup> in size compared to larger tumors, complete regressions were achieved with tumors as small as 100 mm<sup>3</sup>, 250 mm<sup>3</sup> and 150 mm<sup>3</sup> after COBALT/HTI-286, COBALT/docetaxel, and COBALT/MAC-321, respectively, while such regressions were not seen after administration of the same drugs in the absence of *C. novyi*-NT spores.

There were other encouraging findings in this study that may be applicable to the planning of future clinical trials. The effective dose range of *C. novyi*-NT was very wide. Though we chose to administer  $3 \times 10^8$  spores per mouse, we found that 100-fold lower doses ( $3 \times 10^6$ ) induced  $>90\%$  shrinkage of HCT116 tumors when given together with the standard dose of HTI-286 (unpublished data). The relatively low toxicity and the ability of *C. novyi*-NT spores to enhance the effects of several anti-microtubule agents with different mechanisms of action in several different tumor models also bodes well for future clinical application.

## References

Airley, R., Lancaster, J., Davidson, S., Bromley, M., Roberts, S., Patterson, A., Hunter, R., Stratford, I., and West, C. (2001). Glucose transporter glut-1 expression correlates with tumor hypoxia and predicts metastasis-free survival in advanced carcinoma of the cervix. *Clin Cancer Res* 7, 928-934.

Altmann, K. H. (2003). Epothilone B and its analogs - a new family of anticancer agents. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 3, 149-158.

Bacher, G., Nickel, B., Emig, P., Vanhoefer, U., Seeber, S., Shandra, A., Klenner, T., and Beckers, T. (2001). D-24851, a novel synthetic microtubule inhibitor, exerts curative antitumoral activity *in vivo*, shows efficacy toward multidrug-resistant tumor cells, and lacks neurotoxicity. *Cancer Res* 61, 392-399.

Baguley, B. C. (2003). Antivascular therapy of cancer: DMXAA. *Lancet Oncol* 4, 141-148.

Baguley, B. C., Holdaway, K. M., Thomsen, L. L., Zhuang, L., and Zwi, L. J. (1991). Inhibition of growth of colon 38 adenocarcinoma by vinblastine and colchicine: evidence for a vascular mechanism. *Eur J Cancer* 27, 482-487.

Bernsen, H. J., Rijken, P. F., Hagemeier, N. E., and van der Kogel, A. J. (1999). A quantitative analysis of vascularization and perfusion of human glioma xenografts at different implantation sites. *Microvasc Res* 57, 244-257.

Bollag, D. M., McQueney, P. A., Zhu, J., Hensens, O., Koupal, L., Liesch, J., Goetz, M., Lazarides, E., and Woods, C. M. (1995). Epothilones, a new class of microtubule-stabilizing agents with a taxol-like mechanism of

action. *Cancer Res* 55, 2325-2333.

Brown, J. M. (2002). Tumor microenvironment and the response to anticancer therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther* 1, 453-458.

Brown, J. M., and Giaccia, A. J. (1998). The unique physiology of solid tumors: opportunities (and problems) for cancer therapy. *Cancer Res* 58, 1408-1416.

Bunz, F., Dutriaux, A., Lengauer, C., Waldman, T., Zhou, S., Brown, J. P., Sedivy, J. M., Kinzler, K. W., and Vogelstein, B. (1998). Requirement for p53 and p21 to sustain G2 arrest after DNA damage. *Science* 282, 1497-1501.

Chaplin, D. J., Pettit, G. R., Parkins, C. S., and Hill, S. A. (1996). Antivascular approaches to solid tumour therapy: evaluation of tubulin binding agents. *Br J Cancer Suppl* 27, S86-88.

Chen, J. G., and Horwitz, S. B. (2002). Differential mitotic responses to microtubule-stabilizing and -destabilizing drugs. *Cancer Res* 62, 1935-1938.

Dang, L. H., Bettegowda, C., Huso, D. L., Kinzler, K. W., and Vogelstein, B. (2001). Combination bacteriolytic therapy for the treatment of experimental tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 27, 27.

Diggle PJ, L. K.-Y., Zeger SL (1994). Analysis of Longitudinal Data, Oxford University Press).

Folkman, J. (2002). Role of angiogenesis in tumor growth and metastasis. *Semin Oncol* 29, 15-18.

Griffin, R. J., Lee, S. H., Rood, K. L., Stewart, M. J., Lyons, J. C., Lew, Y. S., Park, H., and Song, C. W. (2000). Use of arsenic trioxide as an

antivascular and thermosensitizing agent in solid tumors. *Neoplasia* 2, 555-560.

Griggs, J., Metcalfe, J. C., and Hesketh, R. (2001). Targeting tumour vasculature: the development of combretastatin A4. *Lancet Oncol* 2, 82-87.

Hamel, E., and Covell, D. G. (2002). Antimitotic peptides and depsipeptides. *Curr Med Chem Anti-Canc Agents* 2, 19-53.

Heppner, F., and Mose, J. R. (1978). The liquefaction (oncolysis) of malignant gliomas by a non pathogenic Clostridium. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 42, 123-125.

Jain, R. K., and Forbes, N. S. (2001). Can engineered bacteria help control cancer? *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98, 14748-14750.

Kerbel, R. S. (2000). Tumor angiogenesis: past, present and the near future. *Carcinogenesis* 21, 505-515.

Landen, J. W., Lang, R., McMahon, S. J., Rusan, N. M., Yvon, A. M., Adams, A. W., Sorcinelli, M. D., Campbell, R., Bonaccorsi, P., Ansel, J. C., *et al.* (2002). Noscapine alters microtubule dynamics in living cells and inhibits the progression of melanoma. *Cancer Res* 62, 4109-4114.

Liu, S. C., Minton, N. P., Giaccia, A. J., and Brown, J. M. (2002). Anticancer efficacy of systemically delivered anaerobic bacteria as gene therapy vectors targeting tumor hypoxia/necrosis. *Gene Ther* 9, 291-296.

Loganzo, F., Discafani, C. M., Annable, T., Beyer, C., Musto, S., Hari, M., Tan, X., Hardy, C., Hernandez, R., Baxter, M., *et al.* (2003). HTI-286, a synthetic analogue of the tripeptide hemiasterlin, is a potent antimicrotubule agent that circumvents P-glycoprotein-mediated resistance

in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Res* 63, 1838-1845.

Low, K. B., Ittensohn, M., Le, T., Platt, J., Sodi, S., Amoss, M., Ash, O., Carmichael, E., Chakraborty, A., Fischer, J., *et al.* (1999). Lipid A mutant *Salmonella* with suppressed virulence and TNF $\alpha$  induction retain tumor-targeting in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol* 17, 37-41.

Martello, L. A., LaMarche, M. J., He, L., Beauchamp, T. J., Smith, A. B., and Horwitz, S. B. (2001). The relationship between Taxol and (+)-discodermolide: synthetic analogs and modeling studies. *Chem Biol* 8, 843-855.

Miyagiwa, M., Ichida, T., Tokiwa, T., Sato, J., and Sasaki, H. (1989). A new human cholangiocellular carcinoma cell line (HuCC-T1) producing carbohydrate antigen 19/9 in serum-free medium. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol* 25, 503-510.

Parkins, C. S., Holder, A. L., Hill, S. A., Chaplin, D. J., and Tozer, G. M. (2000). Determinants of anti-vascular action by combretastatin A-4 phosphate: role of nitric oxide. *Br J Cancer* 83, 811-816.

Pettit, G. R. (1997). The dolastatins. *Fortschr Chem Org Naturst* 70, 1-79.  
Pettit, G. R., Srirangam, J. K., Barkoczy, J., Williams, M. D., Durkin, K. P., Boyd, M. R., Bai, R., Hamel, E., Schmidt, J. M., and Chapuis, J. C. (1995). Antineoplastic agents 337. Synthesis of dolastatin 10 structural modifications. *Anticancer Drug Des* 10, 529-544.

Pirollo, K. F., Bouker, K. B., and Chang, E. H. (2000). Does p53 status influence tumor response to anticancer therapies? *Anticancer Drugs* 11, 419-432.

Rowinsky, E. K. (1997). The development and clinical utility of the taxane

class of antimicrotubule chemotherapy agents. *Annu Rev Med* 48, 353-374.

Sampath, D., Discafani, C. M., Loganzo, F., Beyer, C., Liu, H., Tan, X., Musto, S., and Annable, T., Gallagher, P., Rios, C., Greenberger, L. M. (2003). MAC-321,

a novel taxane with greater efficacy than paclitaxel and docetaxel in vitro and in vivo. *Mol Cancer Ther*, in press.

Smith, I. A., Kaufman, M. D., Beachamp, T. J., LaMarche, M. J., and Arimoto, H. (2000). Gram-Scale Synthesis of (+)-Discodermolide. *Org Lett* 2, 1983.

ter Haar, E., Kowalski, R. J., Hamel, E., Lin, C. M., Longley, R. E., Gunasekera, S. P., Rosenkranz, H. S., and Day, B. W. (1996). Discodermolide, a cytotoxic marine agent that stabilizes microtubules more potently than taxol. *Biochemistry* 35, 243-250.

Tozer, G. M., Kanthou, C., Parkins, C. S., and Hill, S. A. (2002). The biology of the combretastatins as tumour vascular targeting agents. *Int J Exp Pathol* 83, 21-38.

Tsutsui, K., Minami, J., Matsushita, O., Katayama, S., Taniguchi, Y., Nakamura, S., Nishioka, M., and Okabe, A. (1995). Phylogenetic analysis of phospholipase C genes from *Clostridium perfringens* types A to E and *Clostridium novyi*. *J Bacteriol* 177, 7164-7170.

Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K. W. (1999). Digital PCR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96, 9236-9241.

Woyke, T., Pettit, G. R., Winkelmann, G., and Pettit, R. K. (2001). In vitro activities and postantifungal effects of the potent dolastatin 10 derivative auristatin PHE. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45, 3580-3584.

CLAIMS

1. A method for treating tumors in a mammal comprising:
  - administering to the mammal spores of a toxin-defective, anaerobic bacterium; and
  - administering to the mammal an anti-tumor agent selected from the group consisting of HTI-286 and MAC-321 and combinations thereof; whereby the tumor regresses or its growth is slowed or arrested.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium novyi*.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium sordellii*.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the spores are administered intravenously.
5. The method of claim 1 wherein the spores are administered intratumorally.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein the anti-tumor agent is a HTI-286.
7. The method of claim 1 wherein the anti-tumor agent is a MAC-321.
8. The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
administering a nitric oxide synthetase (NOS) inhibitor to the mammal.
9. The method of claim 1 wherein the spores and anti-tumor agent are administered serially.
10. The method of claim 8 wherein the spores, anti-tumor agent and NOS inhibitor are administered serially.
11. The method of claim 1 wherein all or part of the toxin gene is deleted.
12. A kit for treating tumors, wherein components of the kit are in a divided or undivided container, said components comprising:  
spores of an anaerobic bacterium which is toxin-defective;

an agent which interacts with microtubules selected from the group consisting of HTI-286 and MAC-321.

13. The kit of claim 12 wherein a toxin gene of a wild type form of the anaerobic bacterium is deleted in the spores of the anaerobic bacterium.

14. The kit of claim 12 further comprising a nitric oxide synthetase (NOS) inhibitor.

15. The kit of claim 12 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium novyi*.

16. The kit of claim 12 wherein the anaerobic bacterium is *Clostridium sordellii*.


17. The kit of claim 12 wherein the agent is HTI-286.

18. The kit of claim 12 wherein the agent is MAC-321.


19. The kit of claim 14 wherein the NOS inhibitor is L-NNA.

20. The kit of claim 12 wherein all or part of the toxin gene is deleted.



1/16

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**



2/16

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**





**FIG. 3A**  
TUMOR VOLUME  
KAPLAN-MEIER





**FIG. 3B**  
% PROGRESSION  
KAPLAN-MEIER




4/16

**FIG. 3E****FIG. 3F****FIG. 3G****FIG. 3H**

5/16

**FIG. 4A****FIG. 4B**

HuCC-T1





**FIG. 4C**

SW480





**FIG. 4D**

HT-29

6/16


**FIG. 5B****FIG. 5A****FIG. 5D****FIG. 5C**

7/16


**FIG. 6B**  
KAPLAN-MEIER**FIG. 6A**  
TUMOR VOLUME**FIG. 6D****FIG. 6C**

8/16

**FIG. 6F**  
KAPPLAN-MEIER



**FIG. 6E**  
TUMOR VOLUME




SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




9/16

**FIG. 7A**

TUMOR VOLUME




KAPPLAN-MEIER

**FIG. 7C****FIG. 7D**


SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

**FIG. 7E**


TUMOR VOLUME

**FIG. 7F**

KAPPLAN-MEIER




II/16

**FIG. 8****SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

12/16

**FIG. 9A**


Untreated




H&amp;E

**FIG. 9B**

HT1-286

**FIG. 9C**

docetaxel

**FIG. 9D**

Glut-1

**FIG. 9E****FIG. 9F**

13/16

**FIG. 10A** HCT116





FIG. 10C



## FIG. 10B

HCT-16






FIG. 10D  
SW100



**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**


14/16

FIG. 10E  
FIG. 10F

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

15/16


FIG. 11




COMPOUND	R <sub>1</sub> =	R <sub>2</sub> =	R <sub>3</sub> =
PACLITAXEL	H	CH <sub>3</sub> C-	
DOCETAXEL	H	H	
MAC-321	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> C-	H	

16/16

FIG. 12



HTI-286



hemiasterlin

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
6 May 2005 (06.05.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 2005/039491 A3

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: A01N 63/00

(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/US2004/034624

(22) International Filing Date: 21 October 2004 (21.10.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
60/512,922 22 October 2003 (22.10.2003) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE JOHN HOPKINS UNIVERSITY [US/US]; 3400 N. Charles Street, 5th Floor, Baltimore, MD 21218 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DANG, Long [US/US]; 2225 Rogene Drive, #101, Baltimore, MD 21209 (US). BETTEGOWDA, Chetan [US/US]; 2201 Rogene Dr. Apt.T2, Baltimore, MD 21209 (US). KIN-ZLER, Kenneth, W. [US/US]; 1403 Halkirk Way, Bel Air, MD 21015 (US). VOGELSTEIN, Bert [US/US]; 3700 Breton Way, Baltimore, MD 21208 (US).

(74) Agent: KAGAN, Sarah, A.; Banner & Witcoff, LTD., 11th Floor, 1001 G Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20001-4597 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:  
23 June 2005

(15) Information about Correction:

Previous Correction:

see PCT Gazette No. 22/2005 of 2 June 2005, Section II

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: CERTAIN IMPROVED COMBINATION BACTERIOLYTIC THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT OF TUMORS

(57) **Abstract:** Current approaches for treating cancer are limited, in part, by the inability of drugs to affect the poorly vascularized regions of tumors. We have found that spores of anaerobic bacteria in combination with agents which interact with microtubules can cause the destruction of both the vascular and avascular compartments of tumors. Two classes of microtubule inhibitors were found to exert markedly different effects. Some agents that inhibited microtubule synthesis such as HTI-286 and vinorelbine, caused rapid, massive hemorrhagic necrosis when used in combination with spores. In contrast, agents that stabilized microtubules, such as the taxanes docetaxel and MAC-321, resulted in slow tumor regressions that killed most neoplastic cells. Remaining cells in the poorly perfused regions of tumors could be eradicated by sporulated bacteria. Mechanistic studies showed that the microtubule destabilizers, but not the microtubule stabilizers, radically reduced blood flow to tumors, thereby enlarging the hypoxic niche in which spores could germinate. A single intravenous injection of spores plus selected microtubule-interacting agents was able to cause regressions of several tumors in the absence of excessive toxicity.

WO 2005/039491 A3

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/US04/34624

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**

IPC(7) : A01N 63/00  
US CL : 424/93.4

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
U.S. : 424/93.4


Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
NONE

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
WEST

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2003/0103987 A1 (VON EICHEL-STREIBER et al) 05 June 2003 (05.06.2003), see entire document.	1-20

<input type="checkbox"/>	Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	<input type="checkbox"/>	See patent family annex.
*	Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E"	earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&"	document member of the same patent family
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search 21 March 2005 (21.03.2005)	Date of mailing of the international search report <b>11 MAY 2005</b>
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer  Deborah K. Wade Telephone No. 703-308-0196

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)